

The protocols of Sharemind

Sharemind system

- Three computing parties (called “miners”). One may be corrupt.
- Semi-honest adversary.
- Secure channels between each pair of parties.
- Unconditionally* secure.
 - ◆ Security of channels?
 - ◆ Source of randomness?
- Data providers share their inputs for the miners.
- Controller traverses the circuit of f and instructs the miners.

Sharing

- The values are from a finite ring R .
 - ◆ In Sharemind platform, $R = \mathbb{Z}_{2^{32}}$.
- The arithmetic circuit for f is made up of operations of R .
- The values are shared additively:
 - ◆ $v \in R$ is shared as $(s_0, s_1, s_2) \in R^3$, where $s_0 + s_1 + s_2 = v$, but any two shares look like uniformly distributed independent random values.
 - ◆ i -th miner knows s_i .
- A data provider shares v by
 - ◆ randomly generating $s_0, s_1 \in_R R$;
 - ◆ defining $s_2 = v - s_0 - s_1$;
 - ◆ sending s_i to miner M_i .
- Note that none of the actions of a data provider qualifies as cheating.

Resharing a value

- Let v be shared as $s_0 + s_1 + s_2$.
- We want to have a different sharing $v = t_0 + t_1 + t_2$, such that t_i is independent of s_i .
- Protocol:
 - ◆ P_i generates $r_i \in_R R$ and sends it to $P_{(i+1) \bmod 3}$;
 - P_i receives $r_{(i-1) \bmod 3}$
 - ◆ P_i sets $t_i = s_i + r_i - r_{(i-1) \bmod 3}$.
- An important sub-protocol: makes a share of a value independent of other shares and uniformly distributed.

Non-interactive protocols

- To add two shared values or to multiply with a scalar: each miner does the same operation with the shares it holds.
- To open a shared value: each miner sends its share to the controller.

Ideal functionality \mathcal{J}

- **Reactive** — several rounds between \mathcal{J} and the environment.
- Keeps of **database of values** $D : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow R \cup \{\perp\}$.
 - ◆ Elements of \mathbb{N} — **handles**.
 - ◆ Let ℓ_D be the index of the last filled slot of D , initially 0.
- Environment H gives commands to \mathcal{J} , receives answers:
- Command $\text{store}(v)$, $v \in R$:
 - ◆ $D[+\ell_D] := v$; return ℓ_D .
- Command $\text{retrieve}(h)$:
 - ◆ return $D[h]$.
- Command $\star(h_1, \dots, h_k)$, where \star is k -ary arithmetic operator:
 - ◆ $D[+\ell_D] = \star(D[h_1], \dots, D[h_k])$; return ℓ_D .
- \mathcal{J} sends all executed commands to the adversary $\mathcal{A}_{\text{ideal}}$.
- H and $\mathcal{A}_{\text{ideal}}$ can talk to each other directly.

Real functionality

- Environment H talks to the controller \mathcal{C} . Controller talks with the miners.
 - ◆ \mathcal{C} basically forwards the commands to miners.
- Controller forwards all executed commands to the adversary $\mathcal{A}_{\text{real}}$.
- If some M_i is corrupted then continuously sends all of its internal state to $\mathcal{A}_{\text{real}}$.
- Each miner M_i keeps a database $D_i : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow R \cup \{\perp\}$.
 - ◆ The database stores the shares of the values.
- H and $\mathcal{A}_{\text{real}}$ can talk to each other directly.

Security

Black-box **reactive** simulatability:

- There must exist a simulator Sim , such that
- For any H and $\mathcal{A}_{\text{real}}$
- If we define $\mathcal{A}_{\text{ideal}} = Sim \mid \mathcal{A}_{\text{real}}$ then
- H cannot distinguish whether it is running in parallel with
 - ◆ $\mathcal{C}, M_0, M_1, M_2, \mathcal{A}_{\text{real}}$; or
 - ◆ $\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{A}_{\text{ideal}}$.

Important: Sim must work during the runtime of the protocol, not afterwards.

Simulating simple commands

- Let M_c be corrupt, $c \in \{0, 1, 2\}$.
- Receiving $\text{store}(v)$ from \mathcal{J} :
 - ◆ Forward $\text{store}(v)$ to $\mathcal{A}_{\text{real}}$;
 - ◆ Generate $s \in_R R$, send it to $\mathcal{A}_{\text{real}}$ as from M_c .
 - ◆ $D_{\text{sim}}[++\ell_{D_{\text{sim}}}] := s$.
- Receiving $\text{retrieve}(v)$ from \mathcal{J} :
 - ◆ Forward it, don't do anything else.
- Receiving $h_1 + h_2$ from \mathcal{J} :
 - ◆ Forward $h_1 + h_2$ to $\mathcal{A}_{\text{real}}$.
 - ◆ $D_{\text{sim}}[++\ell_{D_{\text{sim}}}] := D_{\text{sim}}[h_1] + D_{\text{sim}}[h_2]$.
 - ◆ (Send $D_{\text{sim}}[\ell_{D_{\text{sim}}}]$ to $\mathcal{A}_{\text{real}}$ as from M_c .)

Du-Atallah multiplication

- Let Alice have $a \in R$, Bob have $b \in R$.
- Alice, Bob and Charlie want to obtain $s_A, s_B, s_C \in R$, such that $s_A + s_B + s_C = a \cdot b$.
 - ◆ Party X only learns s_X and nothing else.
- Alice generates $\alpha_1 \in_R R$. Sends α_1 to Charlie and $a + \alpha_1$ to Bob.
- Bob generates $\alpha_2 \in_R R$. Sends α_2 to Charlie and $b + \alpha_2$ to Alice.
- The shares are defined as

$$s_A = -\alpha_1(b + \alpha_2)$$

$$s_B = b(a + \alpha_1)$$

$$s_C = \alpha_1\alpha_2 .$$

(Exercise. Verify that their sum is $a \cdot b$)

- Security: each of the parties only sends out uniformly randomly distributed values.

Sharemind multiplication

- Let $v = s_0 + s_1 + s_2$ and $v' = s'_0 + s'_1 + s'_2$.
- $vv' = s_0s'_0 + s_0s'_1 + s_0s'_2 + s_1s'_0 + s_1s'_1 + s_1s'_2 + s_2s'_0 + s_2s'_1 + s_2s'_2$
- M_i can compute $s_i s'_i$ itself.
- To compute $s_i s'_j$ we use Du-Atallah multiplication with M_i as Alice, M_j as Bob and M_{3-i-j} as Charlie.
- Each party M_i obtains six new shares from six instances of the Du-Atallah protocol.
- These six shares, as well as $s_i s'_i$ are added together. The result is party M_i 's share of vv' .
- Finally, do resharing.
- Simulation:
 - ◆ Send a bunch of random values to the adversary.
 - ◆ Pick $D_{\text{sim}}[+\ell_{D_{\text{sim}}}] \in_R R$.

Share conversion

- Let $u \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ be shared as $u = u_0 \oplus u_1 \oplus u_2$.
- We want to get shares s_0, s_1, s_2 , such that $u = s_0 + s_1 + s_2$ in R .
- Note that $u = u_0 + u_1 + u_2 - 2u_0u_1 - 2u_0u_2 - 2u_1u_2 + 4u_0u_1u_2$ in R .
- Compute this expression in distributed fashion:
 - ◆ u_i will contribute to the share s_i of M_i ;
 - ◆ use Du-Atallah multiplication to get shares of $2u_iu_j$;
 - ◆ find shares of $4u_0u_1u_2$:
 - let M_2 share $2u_2$ with the resharing protocol;
 - multiply $2u_0u_1$ and $2u_2$ with the multiplication protocol
 - ◆ Add the shares from the computation of all monomials;
 - ◆ Reshare.

Bit extraction

- We have shares of the 32-bit value u .
- Let $u(k)$ be the k -th least significant bit of u . $u = \sum_{i=0}^{31} u(k)2^k$.
- We want to have shares of $u(0), \dots, u(31)$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{32}}$.

Bit extraction

- We have shares of the 32-bit value u .
- Let $u(k)$ be the k -th least significant bit of u . $u = \sum_{k=0}^{31} u(k)2^k$.
- We want to have shares of $u(0), \dots, u(31)$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{32}}$.
- Let M_i generate 32 random bits r_i^0, \dots, r_i^{31} .
- We thus have shared 32 random bits r^0, \dots, r^{31} over \mathbb{Z}_2 .
- Convert shares of r^j to shares of $r(j) = r^j$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{32}}$.
- Linearly combine shares of $r(0), \dots, r(31)$ to get shares of r .
- Compute $a = u - r$ (linear combination). Publish a .
 - ◆ a is distributed uniformly randomly; independently of u .
- Share the bits of a :
 - ◆ $a(j)_0 = a(j)$;
 - ◆ $a(j)_1 = a(j)_2 = 0$.
- We have shares of bits of a and r , want to get shares of bits of $a + r$.

Shares of bits of $u = a + r$

- Define $d(0) = a(0) + r(0)$, $d(i) = 2^i a(i) + 2^i r(i) + c(i)$ if $i > 0$.
 - ◆ $c(i)$ is the carry bit (see blackboard).
- $c(i) = 2^i \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} 2^j \cdot (a(j) + r(j) - u(j))$.
- $u(i)$ depends on $d(i)$ as follows:
 - ◆ We have $d(i) \in \{0, 2^i, 2^{i+1}, 2^{i+1} + 2^i\}$.
 - ◆ $u(i) = (d(i) \bmod 2^{i+1}) / 2^i$.
- Let $p(0), \dots, p(31)$ be shared random bits.
- Let $f(i) = (d(i) + 2^i p(i)) \bmod 2^{i+1}$.
 - ◆ *modulo* is computed by each party.
 - ◆ $f(i) \in \{0, 2^i, 2^{i+1}, 2^{i+1} + 2^i, 2^{i+2}\}$
- Publish $f(i)$. If $f(i) \bmod 2^{i+1} = 2^i$ then $u(i) = 1 - p(i)$ else $u(i) = p(i)$.

greater than

- Consider two values v, v' .
- We want to compute whether $v < v'$. Want to get the result as a shared bit.
- If $v, v' \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^{31}}$ then we can compute $v - v'$ and then check the sign bit.
 - ◆ sign bit \equiv most significant bit
- Sign bit is given by bit extraction.