Problem 1: Enigma

An attack is being prepared against Estonia. The potential targets are Narva, Parnu, Saaremaa, Tallinn, Tartu and Viljandi (in alphabetical order). The police managed to intercept a ciphertext of the chosen location for the attack: “FAVXCHFATZ”. From a trusted source we know that messages are encrypted using an Enigma, and that the plaintext consists of the name of the target, filled up with trailing X’s to a length of ten characters. Where will the attack be perpetrated? Justify your answer.

Problem 2: One-time-pad

(a) In a brute force attack, one tries every possible key k and tries to decrypt the ciphertext c using k. When decrypting c using k yields a valid plaintext (e.g., an English sentence), one has found the key.

Given enough time, one can also enumerate all possible keys for the one-time pad. Thus, given unlimited computational power, one can apply the brute-force attack to the one-time pad. One the other hand, we have proven that the one-time pad has perfect secrecy. Thus is should not be possible to break the one-time pad.

Explain why a brute-force attack fails on the one-time pad (even if one has unlimited time).
(b) Write a program that achieves the following: It takes as input two ciphertexts \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) of the same length. Both are expected to be the encryption of a single word \( m_1, m_2 \) using the one-time-pad. To produce the ciphertexts, the same key has been used. The program then finds \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \).

Consider the following ciphertexts: \( c_1 = 4A5C45492449552A \), \( c_2 = 5A47534D35525F20 \) (eight bytes each, presented in hex). Figure out the plaintexts using your program.

**Note:** On many Linux systems, you find a wordlist in `/usr/share/dict/words`. Please submit a printout of your source code and the plaintexts.

**Hint:** If you use python, you may find the following code snippets useful: "5AC643BE8504E35E".decode("hex") decodes a hex string. And the following XORs two string bitwise:

```python
def xor_two_words(x, y):
    assert len(x) == len(y)
    assert isinstance(x, str)
    assert isinstance(y, str)
    return ''.join(chr(ord(a) ^ ord(b)) for a, b in zip(x, y))
```

(c) **[Bonus problem.]** Write a program that does the same as in (b), except that \( m_1, m_2 \) are now English sentences.

This is much more difficult (I have not done it myself), but if you enjoy the challenge, you can do it.

No solution will be provided for this problem unless a student writes one.

**Problem 3: Perfect secrecy**

Show that there is no encryption scheme that has perfect secrecy and allows us to reuse the key. More precisely, show that there is no encryption scheme \( E \) that satisfies the following definition (and that can be decrypted):

**Definition 1 (Perfect secrecy with key reuse)** Let \( K \) be the set of keys, let \( M \) be the set of messages, and let \( E \) be the encryption algorithm (possibly randomized) of an encryption scheme. We say the encryption scheme has perfect secrecy with key reuse iff for all \( n \), and all \( m_0^{(1)}, \ldots, m_0^{(n)}, m_1^{(1)}, \ldots, m_1^{(n)} \in M \) and for all \( c_1, \ldots, c_n \), we have that

\[
\Pr[(c_1, \ldots, c_n) = (c_1', \ldots, c_n') : k \xleftarrow{} K, c_1' \leftarrow E(k, m_0^{(1)}), \ldots, c_n' \leftarrow E(k, m_0^{(n)})] = \Pr[(c_1, \ldots, c_n) = (c_1', \ldots, c_n') : k \xleftarrow{} K, c_1' \leftarrow E(k, m_1^{(1)}), \ldots, c_n' \leftarrow E(k, m_1^{(n)})]
\]

**Hint:** If you have an encryption scheme \( E \) with perfect secrecy with key reuse, first construct from it a scheme \( E' \) with perfect secrecy that has messages longer than keys. (Show that it indeed has perfect secrecy.) Then use Theorem 1 in the lecture notes.