Optimizing execution time in a distributed virtual processor

Dan Bogdanov researcher / PhD student Cybernetica / University of Tartu

Outline of the talk

- I. The Sharemind virtual processor
- 2. The command processing pipeline
- 3. Chosen benchmarks
- 4. Optimization techniques

What is Sharemind?

• A privacy-preserving virtual machine that

- A privacy-preserving virtual machine that
 - performs secure multi-party computation

- A privacy-preserving virtual machine that
 - performs secure multi-party computation
 - can add, multiply and compare integers

- A privacy-preserving virtual machine that
 - performs secure multi-party computation
 - can add, multiply and compare integers
 - has a simple programming interface

- A privacy-preserving virtual machine that
 - performs secure multi-party computation
 - can add, multiply and compare integers
 - has a simple programming interface
- Sharemind can be used for processing private data without compromising it

Data collection

Inp	ut da	ita		
f	32	teacher	Tallinn	

Data collection

First share of the data

j34 f2b7 271pgn 823tfbffsd2 ...

Second share of the data

...

dha lcc7 nf62ng0 72v2ovgxfv ...

Third share of the data

vjn h27 fjsfkha2 chdn7d2nd

Data collection

First share of the data

j34 f2b7 271pgn 823tfbffsd2 ...

No share reveals anything about the original data

vin

Second share of the data

|--|

Third share of the data

h27 |fjsfkha2 | chdn7d2nd |

First share of the data

j34 f2b7 271pgn 823tfbffsd2 ...

Second share of the data

dha	lcc7	nf62ng0	72v2ovgxfv	•••
-----	------	---------	------------	-----



First share of the data

j34 | f2b7 | 271pgn | 823tfbffsd2 | ...



dha | Icc7 | nf62ng0 | 72v2ovgxfv

...



vjn h272 fjsfkha2 chdn7d2nd

Third share of the data

First share of the data

j34 f2b7 271pgn 823tfbffsd2 ...



Second share of the data

dha lcc7 nf62ng0 72v2ovgxfv ...

Third share of the data

vjn h272 fjsfkha2 chdn7d2nd

First share of the data

j34 f2b7 271pgn 823tfbffsd2 ...





No message reveals

dha Icc7 nf62ng0	72v2ovgxfv	•••
------------------	------------	-----



Third share of the data

vjn h272 fjsfkha2 chdn7d2nd .

First share of the data

j34 f2b7 271pgn 823tfbffsd2 ...

Second share of the data

dha	lcc7	nf62ng0	72v2ovgxfv	•••
-----	------	---------	------------	-----



First share of the data

j34	f2b7	271pgn	823tfbffsd2		• • •
gs3	2kq	bvx2as	huo2ei	••	•

Second share of the data

dha	lcc7	/ nf62ng() 72v2ov	gxfv	• • •
09f	gh2	bnv6sd	2yfhod	••	•

Third share of the data

vjn	h272	fjsfkha2	chdn7d2	2nd	
43	9fxj	yvbwr2	l9sufg	••	•

First share of the data

j34	f2b7	271pgn	823tfbffsd2		
gs3	2kq	bvx2as	huo2ei	••	•

Second share of the data

dha	lcc7	/ nf62ng() 72v2ov	gxfv	• • •
09f	gh2	bnv6sd	2yfhod		•

Third share of the data

vjn	h272	fjsfkha2	chdn7d2	2nd	
43	9fxj	yvbwr2	l9sufg	••	•

First share of the data

gs32kq bvx2as huo2ei ...

Second share of the data

09fgh2 bnv6sd 2yfhod	09fgh2	bnv6sd	2yfhod	•••
----------------------	--------	--------	--------	-----

Third share of the data

439fxj yvbwr2 l9sufg ...

gs32kq bvx2as	huo2ei	•••
---------------	--------	-----

09fgh2 bnv6sd 2yfhod	•••
----------------------	-----



Results

68% women 32% men average income 6350 EEK ...

• The protocols are proven secure in the honest-but-curious model

- The protocols are proven secure in the honest-but-curious model
- If a miner looks at its data it sees uniformly distributed values

- The protocols are proven secure in the honest-but-curious model
- If a miner looks at its data it sees uniformly distributed values
- If two miners collude during a protocol, they can recover the secret values

• We have implemented it using C++

- We have implemented it using C++
- The software consists of two parts

- We have implemented it using C++
- The software consists of two parts

I) the data miner runtime server

- We have implemented it using C++
- The software consists of two parts
 - I) the data miner runtime server
 - 2) controller library for creating clients

- We have implemented it using C++
- The software consists of two parts
 - I) the data miner runtime server
 - 2) controller library for creating clients
- Uses the RakNet fast networking library

- We have implemented it using C++
- The software consists of two parts
 - I) the data miner runtime server
 - 2) controller library for creating clients
- Uses the RakNet fast networking library
- Runs (at least) on Linux, Mac OS X, Win XP

The processing pipeline

Execution model

Execution model

• Our processor is based on a stack machine
- Our processor is based on a stack machine
 - The input is pushed on the stack

- Our processor is based on a stack machine
 - The input is pushed on the stack
 - The operation is executed

- Our processor is based on a stack machine
 - The input is pushed on the stack
 - The operation is executed
 - The results are read from the stack

- Our processor is based on a stack machine
 - The input is pushed on the stack
 - The operation is executed
 - The results are read from the stack
- The CPU also has a heap and a database

- Our processor is based on a stack machine
 - The input is pushed on the stack
 - The operation is executed
 - The results are read from the stack
- The CPU also has a heap and a database
 - Which basically make it Turing-complete









































































• Operation execution is divided into rounds

- Operation execution is divided into rounds
 - A round ends with message sending

- Operation execution is divided into rounds
 - A round ends with message sending
- Single-round operations are called *local*

- Operation execution is divided into rounds
 - A round ends with message sending
- Single-round operations are called *local*
- Multi-round operations can be scheduled with a granularity of one round

- Operation execution is divided into rounds
 - A round ends with message sending
- Single-round operations are called *local*
- Multi-round operations can be scheduled with a granularity of one round
 - This allows sub-operations (calls)

Chosen benchmarks
• We currently benchmark atomic operations and simple compositions

- We currently benchmark atomic operations and simple compositions
- Our current candidates are:

- We currently benchmark atomic operations and simple compositions
- Our current candidates are:
 - scalar product

- We currently benchmark atomic operations and simple compositions
- Our current candidates are:
 - scalar product
 - vectorized comparison

• We measure execution time in milliseconds

- We measure execution time in milliseconds
- Option I: Measure at the client

- We measure execution time in milliseconds
- Option I: Measure at the client
 - What a client sees. Includes overhead.

- We measure execution time in milliseconds
- Option I: Measure at the client
 - What a client sees. Includes overhead.
- Option 2: Measure at the miners

- We measure execution time in milliseconds
- Option I: Measure at the client
 - What a client sees. Includes overhead.
- Option 2: Measure at the miners
 - Exact computation time. No overhead.

- We measure execution time in milliseconds
- Option I: Measure at the client
 - What a client sees. Includes overhead.
- Option 2: Measure at the miners
 - Exact computation time. No overhead.
- We have been using both methods

• Repeat each experiment a number of times

- Repeat each experiment a number of times
- Throw away first 10% of results after the test program starts up.

- Repeat each experiment a number of times
- Throw away first 10% of results after the test program starts up.
 - This eliminates the effects of the network layer flow control algorithms.

- Repeat each experiment a number of times
- Throw away first 10% of results after the test program starts up.
 - This eliminates the effects of the network layer flow control algorithms.
 - A real-life system is "always on" and doesn't need flow calibration so often.

Optimization techniques

• We can group work done in a distributed system in three categories

- We can group work done in a distributed system in three categories
 - I. Actual computation at the miners

- We can group work done in a distributed system in three categories
 - I. Actual computation at the miners
 - 2. Sending data to other miners

- We can group work done in a distributed system in three categories
 - I. Actual computation at the miners
 - 2. Sending data to other miners
 - 3. Receiving data from other miners

- We can group work done in a distributed system in three categories
 - I. Actual computation at the miners
 - 2. Sending data to other miners
 - 3. Receiving data from other miners
- Find out the truth by running experiments



Time required for sending network packets grows by little

- Time required for sending network packets grows by little
- Time for receiving and interpreting network packets grows by little

- Time required for sending network packets grows by little
- Time for receiving and interpreting network packets grows by little
- The computation time grows by little

- Time required for sending network packets grows by little
- Time for receiving and interpreting network packets grows by little
- The computation time grows by little
- Waiting for data takes most of the time

• Waiting happens between rounds

- Waiting happens between rounds
- The miner has computed something

- Waiting happens between rounds
- The miner has computed something
- The results have been sent out

- Waiting happens between rounds
- The miner has computed something
- The results have been sent out
- To continue, the miner must have the computation results from other miners

What controls the delay?
• The delay is influenced by the following:

- The delay is influenced by the following:
 - network speed

- The delay is influenced by the following:
 - network speed
 - size of the packets

- The delay is influenced by the following:
 - network speed
 - size of the packets
 - number of packets

- The delay is influenced by the following:
 - network speed
 - size of the packets
 - number of packets
 - organization of the protocol

• Test machines are on a 1 Gbps network

- Test machines are on a 1 Gbps network
- Hard to optimize much further :)

- Test machines are on a 1 Gbps network
- Hard to optimize much further :)
- Reality is bound to be a lot worse anyway

• Big packets are hard to transmit

- Big packets are hard to transmit
- Many packets create too much overhead

- Big packets are hard to transmit
- Many packets create too much overhead
- Obviously, a balance is required

- Big packets are hard to transmit
- Many packets create too much overhead
- Obviously, a balance is required
- Actually, two clever ideas can be derived:

- Big packets are hard to transmit
- Many packets create too much overhead
- Obviously, a balance is required
- Actually, two clever ideas can be derived:
 - vectorization

- Big packets are hard to transmit
- Many packets create too much overhead
- Obviously, a balance is required
- Actually, two clever ideas can be derived:
 - vectorization
 - batched processing

• A standard solution in CPU design

- A standard solution in CPU design
- Instead of doing a single operation at once, do many similar operations simultaneously

- A standard solution in CPU design
- Instead of doing a single operation at once, do many similar operations simultaneously
 - multiply 100 000 values at the same time

- A standard solution in CPU design
- Instead of doing a single operation at once, do many similar operations simultaneously
 - multiply 100 000 values at the same time
- This optimizes packet count, but makes the packets bigger

- A standard solution in CPU design
- Instead of doing a single operation at once, do many similar operations simultaneously
 - multiply 100 000 values at the same time
- This optimizes packet count, but makes the packets bigger
- The performance increase is substantial



• Also a standard solution in this area

- Also a standard solution in this area
- Instead of processing a lot of data at once, process a piece of it and send it to the next miner so it could start work earlier

- Also a standard solution in this area
- Instead of processing a lot of data at once, process a piece of it and send it to the next miner so it could start work earlier
- Decreases packet size, but increases count

- Also a standard solution in this area
- Instead of processing a lot of data at once, process a piece of it and send it to the next miner so it could start work earlier
- Decreases packet size, but increases count
- Balances the effects of vectorization

- Also a standard solution in this area
- Instead of processing a lot of data at once, process a piece of it and send it to the next miner so it could start work earlier
- Decreases packet size, but increases count
- Balances the effects of vectorization
- Improves performance by about 10%

• Each round increases the waiting time

- Each round increases the waiting time
 - Try to decrease the number of rounds?

- Each round increases the waiting time
 - Try to decrease the number of rounds?
- The temporal arrangement also matters

- Each round increases the waiting time
 - Try to decrease the number of rounds?
- The temporal arrangement also matters
 - Try to arrange the work so that miners have to wait as little as possible

Number of rounds

Number of rounds

• Example: we replaced a logarithmic-time comparison protocol with a linear-time one
Number of rounds

- Example: we replaced a logarithmic-time comparison protocol with a linear-time one
- The new protocol was 3-10 times faster

Number of rounds

- Example: we replaced a logarithmic-time comparison protocol with a linear-time one
- The new protocol was 3-10 times faster
- It was also a lot more complex

Number of rounds

- Example: we replaced a logarithmic-time comparison protocol with a linear-time one
- The new protocol was 3-10 times faster
- It was also a lot more complex
- Decreasing the number of rounds in a protocol is harder

• We could build a better scheduler, which would do useful work instead of waiting

- We could build a better scheduler, which would do useful work instead of waiting
- It would run a protocol round only when all the needed inputs have arrived

- We could build a better scheduler, which would do useful work instead of waiting
- It would run a protocol round only when all the needed inputs have arrived
- It could run computation for other queries while waiting for data

- We could build a better scheduler, which would do useful work instead of waiting
- It would run a protocol round only when all the needed inputs have arrived
- It could run computation for other queries while waiting for data
- We need more operations to run in parallel

• A program could run instructions in parallel

- A program could run instructions in parallel
- Will give concurrent protocol instances...

- A program could run instructions in parallel
- Will give concurrent protocol instances...
- ...but the stack machine fails right there

- A program could run instructions in parallel
- Will give concurrent protocol instances...
- ...but the stack machine fails right there
- A synchronous machine will be needed

- A program could run instructions in parallel
- Will give concurrent protocol instances...
- ...but the stack machine fails right there
- A synchronous machine will be needed
- We can also run queries for many clients at the same time (like a database server)

• Waiting for computation inputs between rounds is the biggest performance killer

- Waiting for computation inputs between rounds is the biggest performance killer
- Vectorization + batch processing provide a method for balancing network traffic

- Waiting for computation inputs between rounds is the biggest performance killer
- Vectorization + batch processing provide a method for balancing network traffic
- Reducing the number of protocol rounds will give the biggest improvements

- Waiting for computation inputs between rounds is the biggest performance killer
- Vectorization + batch processing provide a method for balancing network traffic
- Reducing the number of protocol rounds will give the biggest improvements
- Parallel execution will not improve speed, but it will improve throughput

That is all.