

# Loop Invariants

Vesal Vojdani

Department of Computer Science  
University of Tartu

Formal Methods (2014)

# Warm-Up

- Consider a simple loop-free program:

```
int succ(int x) {  
    a = x + 1;  
    if (a - 1 == 0)  
        y = 1;  
    else  
        y = a;  
    return y;  
}
```

- Show that  $y = x + 1$  at the return statement.

# While Loops

- ▶ Recall the proof rule

$$\frac{(\phi \wedge e) \ C \ (\phi)}{(\phi) \ \text{while } e \text{ do } C \ (\phi \wedge \neg e)}$$

- ▶ Given a  $\psi$  as post-condition. . .
- ▶ How can we apply this rule?
- ▶ What is the WP of a while loop?

# Termination?

- ▶ Weakest Liberal Preconditions

$$wp \llbracket S \rrbracket \psi \equiv wp \llbracket S \rrbracket true \wedge wlp \llbracket S \rrbracket \psi$$

- ▶ We did not care about this distinction
  - ▶ Termination is an outdated concept. ;)
  - ▶ Only loops have different definitions.

# WP for while loops

- ▶ WP  $\llbracket \text{while } e \text{ do } C \rrbracket \psi$ ?
- ▶ Unrolling the loop:

$$F_0 = \text{while } e \text{ do skip}$$

$$F_i = \text{if } e \text{ then } C ; F_{i-1} \text{ else skip}$$

- ▶ WP for “exiting the loop after at most  $i$  iterations in a state satisfying  $\psi$ ”:

$$L_0 \equiv \psi \wedge \neg e$$

$$L_i \equiv (\neg e \rightarrow \phi) \wedge (e \rightarrow \text{WP} \llbracket C \rrbracket L_{i-1})$$

# WLP for while loops

- ▶ WLP  $\llbracket \text{while } e \text{ do } C \rrbracket \psi$ ?
- ▶ Unrolling the loop:

$$F_0 = \text{while } e \text{ do skip}$$

$$F_i = \text{if } e \text{ then } C ; F_{i-1} \text{ else skip}$$

- ▶ WLP for “if we exit the loop after at most  $i$  iterations, the resulting state satisfies  $\psi$ ”:

$$L_0 \equiv \psi$$

$$L_i \equiv (\neg e \rightarrow \phi) \wedge (e \rightarrow \text{WLP } \llbracket C \rrbracket L_{i-1})$$

# WLP for while loops

- ▶ WLP for “if we exit the loop after at most  $i$  iterations, the resulting state satisfies  $\psi$ ”:

$$L_0 \equiv \psi$$

$$L_i \equiv (\neg e \rightarrow \phi) \wedge (e \rightarrow \text{WLP} \llbracket C \rrbracket L_{i-1})$$

- ▶ We then define

$$\text{WLP} \llbracket \text{while } e \text{ do } C \rrbracket \psi = \forall i \in \mathbb{N} : L_i$$

- ▶ Not very practical. . .

# Precondition of a While Loop

To push  $\psi$  up through `while e do C`:

1. Guess a potential invariant  $\phi$ .
2. Make sure  $\phi \wedge \neg e \implies \psi$ .
3. Compute  $\phi' = \text{WLP} \llbracket C \rrbracket \phi$ .
4. Check that  $\phi \wedge e \implies \phi'$ .
5. Then,  $\phi$  is a pre-condition for  $\psi$ .

$$\frac{(\phi \wedge e) \ C \ (\phi')}{(\phi) \ \text{while } e \text{ do } C \ (\phi \wedge \neg e)}$$



# Proof Tableaux for Loops

$$\begin{array}{l} (\phi) \\ \text{while } e \text{ do } \{ \\ \quad (\phi \wedge e) \\ \quad (\text{WLP } [C] \phi) \\ \quad C \\ \quad (\phi) \\ \} \\ (\phi \wedge \neg E) \\ (\psi) \end{array}$$

# Exercise 1

```
int fact(int x) {  
    y = 1;  
    z = 0;  
    while (z != x) {  
        z = z + 1;  
        y = y * z;  
    }  
    return y;  
}
```

# Guessing the invariant

- ▶ Doing a trace:

iteration	x	y	z	B
0	6	1	0	<i>true</i>
1	6	1	1	<i>true</i>
2	6	2	2	<i>true</i>
3	6	6	3	<i>true</i>
4	6	24	4	<i>true</i>
5	6	120	5	<i>true</i>
6	6	720	6	<i>false</i>
i		i!	i	

- ▶ Formulate hypothesis:  $y = z!$

# Proof obligations

Want to establish  $\psi \equiv y = x!$ .

1. Our invariant  $\phi \equiv y = z!$
2. Check that  $\phi \wedge \neg(z \neq x) \implies \psi$ .

# Proof obligations

Want to establish  $\psi \equiv y = x!$ .

1. Our invariant  $\phi \equiv y = z!$
2. Check that  $\phi \wedge \neg(z \neq x) \implies \psi$ .
3. Compute WLP of loop body:

# Proof obligations

Want to establish  $\psi \equiv y = x!$ .

1. Our invariant  $\phi \equiv y = z!$
2. Check that  $\phi \wedge \neg(z \neq x) \implies \psi$ .
3. Compute WLP of loop body:

$$\phi' \equiv y \cdot (z + 1) = (z + 1)!$$

4. Check if  $\phi \wedge z \neq x \implies \phi'$ .

# Proof obligations

Want to establish  $\psi \equiv y = x!$ .

1. Our invariant  $\phi \equiv y = z!$
2. Check that  $\phi \wedge \neg(z \neq x) \implies \psi$ .
3. Compute WLP of loop body:

$$\phi' \equiv y \cdot (z + 1) = (z + 1)!$$

4. Check if  $\phi \wedge z \neq x \implies \phi'$ .
5. Continue WLP computation with  $\phi$ .

# Exercise 2:

## Minimal-Sum Section

- ▶ Given an integer array  $a[0], a[1], \dots, a[n-1]$ .
- ▶ A section of  $a$  is a continuous piece  $a[i], a[i+1], \dots, a[j]$  with  $0 \leq i \leq j < n$ .
- ▶ Section sum:  $S_{i,j} = a[i] + \dots + a[j]$ .
- ▶ A minimal-sum section is a section  $a[i], \dots, a[j]$  s.t. for any other  $a[i'], \dots, a[j']$ , we have  $S_{i,j} \leq S_{i',j'}$ .



# What to do?

- ▶ Compute the sum of the minimal-sum sections in linear time.
- ▶ Prove that the code is correct!
- ▶ For example. . .
  - ▶  $[-1, 3, 15, -6, 4, -5]$  is  $-7$  for  $[-6, 4, -5]$ .
  - ▶  $[-2, -1, 3, -3]$  is  $-3$  for  $[-2, -1]$  or  $[-3]$ .

# The Program

```
int minsum(int a[]) {  
    k = 1;  
    t = a[0];  
    s = a[0];  
    while (k != n) {  
        t = min(t + a[k], a[k]);  
        s = min(s, t);  
        k = k + 1;  
    }  
    return s;  
}
```

# Post-conditions

- ▶ The value  $s$  is smaller than the sum of any section.

$$\phi_1 = \forall i, j : 0 \leq i \leq j < n \rightarrow s \leq S_{i,j}$$

- ▶ There is a section whose sum is  $s$

$$\phi_2 = \exists i, j : 0 \leq i \leq j < n \wedge s = S_{i,j}$$

# Trying to prove $\phi_1$

- Suitable Invariant:

$$\phi_1 = \forall i, j : 0 \leq i \leq j < n \rightarrow s \leq S_{i,j}$$

$$I_1(s, k) = \forall i, j : 0 \leq i \leq j < k \rightarrow s \leq S_{i,j}$$

# Trying to prove $\phi_1$

- Suitable Invariant:

$$\phi_1 = \forall i, j : 0 \leq i \leq j < n \rightarrow s \leq S_{i,j}$$

$$I_1(s, k) = \forall i, j : 0 \leq i \leq j < k \rightarrow s \leq S_{i,j}$$

- Additional Invariant

$$I_2(t, k) = \forall i : 0 \leq i < k \rightarrow t \leq S_{i,k-1}$$

# The Key Lemma

- ▶ In the end, we have to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} & I_1(s, k) \wedge I_2(t, k) \wedge k \neq n \\ & \implies \\ & I_1(\min(s, (\min(t + a[k], a[k]))), k + 1) \\ & \quad \wedge \\ & I_2(\min(t + a[k], a[k]), k + 1) \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ This will require human intervention:  
proof-assistants.