

# Generators in the category of $S$ -posets

Valdis Laan

May 2007, Ratnieki

**Def. 1** A monoid  $S$  together with a partial order relation  $\leq$  on it is called a **partially ordered monoid** (shortly **pomonoid**) if

$$s \leq t \implies us \leq ut \quad \text{and} \quad su \leq tu$$

for all  $s, t, u \in S$ .

**Def. 2** Let  $S$  be a pomonoid. A poset  $(A, \leq)$  is called a **right  $S$ -poset** if there is a mapping  $A \times S \rightarrow A$ ,  $(a, s) \mapsto a \cdot s$ , such that

1.  $(a \cdot s) \cdot t = a \cdot st$ ,
2.  $a \cdot 1 = a$ ,
3.  $a \leq b$  implies  $a \cdot s \leq b \cdot s$ ,
4.  $s \leq t$  implies  $a \cdot s \leq a \cdot t$ ,

for all  $a, b \in A$ ,  $s, t \in S$ . We write  $A_S$ . Similarly, for a pomonoid  $T$ , **left  $T$ -posets** and  **$(T, S)$ -biposets** can be defined.

**Def. 3 Right  $S$ -poset morphisms**  $A_S \rightarrow B_S$  are mappings  $f : A \rightarrow B$  such that

1.  $f(a \cdot s) = f(a) \cdot s$ ,
2.  $a \leq b$  implies  $f(a) \leq f(b)$ ,

for all  $a, b \in A$ ,  $s \in S$ . We write  $\text{Pos}_S(A, B)$  for the set of all  $S$ -poset morphisms from  $A_S$  to  $B_S$ .

Right  $S$ -posets and their morphisms form a category  $\text{Pos}_S$ , which is enriched over the category  $\text{Pos}$  of posets, i.e. the morphism sets  $\text{Pos}_S(A, B)$  are posets with respect to the pointwise order

$$f \leq g \iff f(a) \leq g(a) \quad \text{for every } a \in A.$$

Regular monomorphisms in the category  $\text{Pos}_S$  are mappings  $f : A_S \rightarrow B_S$  with

$$a \leq a' \iff f(a) \leq f(a') \quad \text{for every } a, a' \in A.$$

A right  $S$ -poset  $A_S$  is a **retract** of  $B_S$  if there exist morphisms  $A \xrightleftharpoons[\gamma]{\pi} B$  in  $\text{Pos}_S$  such that  $\gamma \circ \pi = 1_A$ .

**Proposition 1** *Cyclic projectives in  $\text{Pos}_S$  are precisely retracts of  $S_S$ .*

## Generators

An object  $A_S$  in the category  $\text{Pos}_S$  is a **generator** if the mapping  $\text{Pos}_S(A, -)$  restricted to every morphism set is injective. In other words, for every  $X_S, Y_S \in \text{Pos}_S$  and  $f, g \in \text{Pos}_S(X, Y)$ ,

$$\text{Pos}_S(A, f) = \text{Pos}_S(A, g) \implies f = g,$$

or

$$((\forall k \in \text{Pos}_S(A, X))(f \circ k = g \circ k)) \implies f = g.$$

**Theorem 1** *The following assertions are equivalent for a right  $S$ -poset  $A_S$ :*

1.  $A_S$  is a generator;
2. for every  $X_S \in \text{Pos}_S$  there exists a set  $I$  and an epimorphism  $h : \sqcup_I A \rightarrow X$  in  $\text{Pos}_S$ ;
3. there exists an epimorphism  $\pi : A \rightarrow S$  in  $\text{Pos}_S$ ;
4.  $S_S$  is a retract of  $A_S$ .

For fixed elements  $s \in S$ ,  $t \in T$  and  $TA_S \in T\text{Pos}_S$ , the mappings  $\rho_s : A \rightarrow A$ ,  $a \mapsto a \cdot s$ , and  $\lambda_t : A \rightarrow A$ ,  $a \mapsto t \cdot a$ , are morphisms in  $T\text{Pos}$  and  $\text{Pos}_S$ , respectively.

**Lemma 1** *For every  $TA_S \in T\text{Pos}_S$*

1. *if  $TB \in T\text{Pos}$  then  $T\text{Pos}(B, A) \in \text{Pos}_S$  with*

$$f \cdot s := \rho_s \circ f, \quad (1)$$

$$f \in T\text{Pos}(B, A), s \in S;$$

2. *the assignment  $TB \mapsto T\text{Pos}(B, A)$  defines a contravariant pofunctor  $T\text{Pos}(-, A) : T\text{Pos} \rightarrow \text{Pos}_S$ ;*

3.  *$T\text{Pos}(T, A) \cong A_S$  in  $\text{Pos}_S$ ;*

4.  *$T\text{Pos}(A, A) \in {}_S\text{Pos}_S$  with (1) and*

$$s \cdot f := f \circ \rho_s,$$

$$f \in T\text{Pos}(A, A), s \in S;$$

5. *the mapping  $\rho : S \rightarrow T\text{Pos}(A, A)$ ,  $s \mapsto \rho_s$ , is a morphism in  ${}_S\text{Pos}_S$ .*

For every  $A_S \in \text{Pos}_S$  we consider the set  $\text{End}(A_S) = \text{Pos}_S(A, A)$  as a pomonoid with respect to composition and pointwise order. For every  ${}_T A \in {}_T \text{Pos}$  we consider the set  $\text{End}({}_T A) = {}_T \text{Pos}(A, A)$  as a pomonoid with multiplication

$$f \bullet g := g \circ f,$$

$f, g \in \text{End}({}_T A)$ .

**Lemma 2** *For every  $A_S \in \text{Pos}_S$ ,  $\text{End}(A_S)A_S \in \text{End}(A_S)\text{Pos}_S$  with the left  $\text{End}(A_S)$ -action defined by*

$$f \cdot a := f(a), \quad (2)$$

$a \in A, f \in \text{End}(A_S)$ .

**Proposition 2** *For every  ${}_T A_S \in {}_T \text{Pos}_S$ , the mappings*

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda : T &\rightarrow \text{End}(A_S), & t &\mapsto \lambda_t, \\ \rho : S &\rightarrow \text{End}({}_T A), & s &\mapsto \rho_s, \end{aligned}$$

*are pomonoid homomorphisms.*

**Def. 4** We call a biposet  ${}_T A_S$  **faithful (regularly faithful, balanced, faithfully balanced)** if the pomonoid homomorphisms  $\lambda : T \rightarrow \text{End}(A_S)$  and  $\rho : S \rightarrow \text{End}({}_T A)$  are monomorphisms (resp. regular monomorphisms, epimorphisms, isomorphisms).

We say that a right  $S$ -poset  $A_S$  is **faithful (regularly faithful, balanced, faithfully balanced)** if the biposet  $\text{End}(A_S)A_S$  is faithful (resp. regularly faithful, balanced, faithfully balanced).

Thus  $TA_S$  (or  $A_S$ ) is faithfully balanced if and only if it is regularly faithful and balanced.

Note that  $\lambda : \text{End}(A_S) \rightarrow \text{End}(A_S)$  is the identity mapping, because  $\lambda_f(a) = f \cdot a = f(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ ,  $f \in \text{End}(A_S)$ . Hence  $A_S$  is faithful (regularly faithful, balanced, faithfully balanced) if and only if the mapping

$$\rho : S \rightarrow \text{End}(\text{End}(A_S)A)$$

is a monomorphism (resp. regular monomorphism, epimorphism, isomorphism). Moreover, the set  $\text{End}(\text{End}(A_S)A) = \text{End}(A_S)\text{Pos}(A, A)$  consists of order preserving mappings  $f : A \rightarrow A$  (i.e. morphisms in  $\text{Pos}$ ) such that  $f \circ k = k \circ f$  for all  $k \in \text{End}(A_S)$ . That is,  $\text{End}(\text{End}(A_S)A)$  is the centralizer of the submonoid  $\text{Pos}_S(A, A)$  in the monoid  $\text{Pos}(A, A)$ . Thus  $A_S$  is balanced if and only if only right translations by some element of  $S$  commute with all endomorphisms of  $A_S$ , i.e.

$$C_{\text{Pos}(A, A)}(\text{Pos}_S(A, A)) = \{\rho_s : A \rightarrow A \mid s \in S\},$$

and  $A_S$  is faithfully balanced if, in addition, the last pomonoid is isomorphic to  $S$ .

**Proposition 3** *Let  ${}_T A_S \in {}_T \text{Pos}_S$  be a faithfully balanced biposet. Then  $A_S$  is a generator if and only if  ${}_T A$  is a cyclic projective.*

**Proof.** If  ${}_T A_S$  is faithfully balanced, by Lemma 1, the mappings  $\lambda : T \rightarrow \text{Pos}_S(A, A)$ ,  $\rho : S \rightarrow {}_T \text{Pos}(A, A)$  are isomorphisms in  ${}_T \text{Pos}$  and  $\text{Pos}_S$ , respectively.

**Necessity.** If  $A_S$  is a generator, by Theorem 1 there exist morphisms  $A \xrightleftharpoons[\gamma]{\pi} S$  in  $\text{Pos}_S$  such that  $\pi \circ \gamma = 1_S$ . Applying the functor  $\text{Pos}_S(-, A)$  and Lemma 1, we obtain morphisms and isomorphisms

$${}_T A \cong \text{Pos}_S(S, A) \xrightleftharpoons[\text{Pos}_S(\gamma, A)]{\text{Pos}_S(\pi, A)} \text{Pos}_S(A, A) \cong {}_T T$$

in  ${}_T \text{Pos}$  such that  $\text{Pos}_S(\gamma, A) \circ \text{Pos}_S(\pi, A) = \text{Pos}_S(\pi \circ \gamma, A) = 1_{\text{Pos}_S(S, A)}$ . Hence  ${}_T A$  is a retract of  ${}_T T$ , consequently a cyclic projective by Proposition 1.

**Sufficiency.** If  ${}_T A$  is cyclic projective then, by Proposition 1, there are morphisms  $T \xrightleftharpoons[\gamma]{\pi} A$  in  ${}_T \text{Pos}$  such that  $\pi \circ \gamma = 1_A$ . Applying the functor  ${}_T \text{Pos}(-, A)$  and Lemma 1, we obtain the diagram

$$S_S \cong {}_T \text{Pos}(A, A) \xrightleftharpoons[{}_T \text{Pos}(\gamma, A)]{{}_T \text{Pos}(\pi, A)} {}_T \text{Pos}(T, A) \cong A_S$$

in  $\text{Pos}_S$  with  ${}_T \text{Pos}(\gamma, A) \circ {}_T \text{Pos}(\pi, A) = 1_{{}_T \text{Pos}(A, A)}$ . So  $S_S$  is a retract of  $A_S$  and hence  $A_S$  is a generator by Theorem 1. ■



**Theorem 2** *If a right  $S$ -poset  $A_S$  is a generator then*

- 1.  $A_S$  is regularly faithful,*
- 2.  $\text{End}(A_S)A$  is a cyclic projective.*

## Cyclic projective generators

**Proposition 4** *If  $A_S$  is a cyclic projective then  $\text{End}(A_S)A$  is a generator.*

**Theorem 3** *A right  $S$ -poset  $A_S$  is a cyclic projective generator if and only if*

1.  $A_S$  is faithfully balanced,
2.  $\text{End}(A_S)A$  is a cyclic projective generator.

**Proposition 5** *An  $S$ -poset  $A_S$  is a cyclic projective generator in  $\text{Pos}_S$  if and only if  $A_S \cong eS_S$  for an idempotent  $e \in S$  with  $e\mathcal{J}1$  (that is,  $k'ek = 1$  for some  $k, k' \in S$ ).*

## References

- 1 F. Anderson, K. Fuller, Rings and categories of modules, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1974.
- 2 M. Kilp, U. Knauer, A. Mikhalev, Monoids, Acts and Categories, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 2000.