

Exercise Sheet 5

Out: 2020-03-31

Due: 2020-04-08

Problem 1: Malleability of ElGamal

Remember the auction example from the lecture: Bidder 1 produces a ciphertext $c = E(pk, bid_1)$ where E is the ElGamal encryption algorithm (using integers mod p as the underlying group). Given c , Bidder 2 can then compute c' such that c' decrypts to $2 \cdot bid_1 \bmod p$. This allows Bidder 2 to consistently bid twice as much as Bidder 1.¹

Now refine the attack. You may assume that bid_1 is the amount of Cents Bidder 1 is willing to pay. And you can assume that Bidder 1 will always bid a whole number of Euros. (I.e., bid_1 is a multiple of 100.)

Show how Bidder 2 can consistently overbid Bidder 1 by only 1%. What happens to your attack if Bidder 1 suddenly does not bid a whole number of Euros?

Hint: Remember that modulo p , one can efficiently find inverses. For example, one can find a number a such that $a \cdot 100 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

Problem 2: Encoding messages for ElGamal (bonus problem)

The message space of ElGamal (when using the instantiation that operates modulo a prime $p > 2$ with $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ², and if we want to avoid the insecurity discussed in the practice) is the set $\text{QR}_p = \{x^2 \bmod p : x = 0, \dots, p-1\}$.

The problem is now: if we wish to encrypt a message $m \in \{0, 1\}^\ell$ (with $\ell \leq |p| - 2$), how do we interpret m as an element of QR_p ?

One possibility is to use the following function $f : \{1, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}\} \rightarrow \text{QR}_p$:

$$f(x) := \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in \text{QR}_p \\ -x \bmod p & \text{if } x \notin \text{QR}_p \end{cases}$$

Once we see that f is a bijection and can be efficiently inverted, the problem is solved, because a bitstring $m \in \{0, 1\}^\ell$ can be interpreted as a number in the range $1, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}$ by simply interpreting m as a binary integer and adding 1 to it. (I.e., we encrypt $f(m+1)$.)

We claim that the following function is the inverse of f :

$$g(x) := \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x = 1, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2} \\ -x \bmod p & \text{if } x \neq 1, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2} \end{cases}$$

¹As long as $bid_1 < p/2$, that is. Otherwise $2 \cdot bid_1 \bmod p$ will not be twice as much as bid_1 . However, for large p , $bid_1 \geq p/2$ is an unrealistically high bid.

²You do not actually need to use this fact, but the hint that $-1 \notin \text{QR}_p$ below is only true in this case.

We thus need to show the following: the range of f is indeed QR_p , and that $g(f(x)) = x$ for all $x \in \{1, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}\}$.

(a) Show that $f(x) \in \text{QR}_p$ for all $x \in \{1, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}\}$.

Hint: You can use (without proof) that $-1 \notin \text{QR}_p$ (this only holds in QR_p for p prime with $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$). And that the product of two quadratic non-residues is a quadratic residue (this only holds in QR_p , but not in QR_n for n non-prime).

(b) Show that $g(f(x)) = x$ for all $x \in \{1, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}\}$.

(This then shows that f is injective and efficiently invertible. Bijectivity follows from injectivity because the domain and range of f both have the same size.)

Hint: Make a case distinction between $x \in \text{QR}_p$ and $x \notin \text{QR}_p$. Show that for $x \in \{1, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}\}$ it holds that $-x \pmod{p} \notin \{1, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}\}$.

Problem 3: Hybrid encryption – implementations

(a) Implement a hybrid encryption using ElGamal and AES. You are allowed to use ready-made ElGamal and AES.

In the contributed file `hybrid.py` (lecture webpage), you find a prepared template in Python that already provides function for ElGamal and AES encryption as well as some utility functions and testing code that you might need. I recommend to use that code. If you wish to use another language, you will have to find your own ElGamal and AES routines.

You should check that `hybrid_decrypt(sk, hybrid_encrypt(pk, msg))` returns `msg`.

It is OK if you only allow encrypting messages whose length is a multiple of 16 bytes (blocklength of AES).

(b) **[Bonus problem]** The ElGamal implementation used in `hybrid.py` might leak whether the message `msg` is a quadratic residue. Using the methods developed in Problem 2, fix the functions `elgamal_encrypt` and `elgamal_decrypt` to avoid this leakage. (You need to make sure that `elgamal_decrypt(sk, elgamal_encrypt(pk, msg))` still returns `msg`.)