## Program Transformation with Stratego/XT

Margus Freudenthal

Based on Program Transformation with Stratego/XT. Rules, Strategies, Tools, and Systems in Stratego/XT 0.9. by Eelco Visser, 2004

# What Is Program Transformation?

- Automatic manipulation of source programs
- Used in
  - Compilers
  - Code generators
  - Refactoring tools
  - Migrating tools
  - Reverse engineering tools

## What Is Stratego/XT?

- Stratego is a language for
  - Transformation rules
  - Programmable strategies for applying these rules
- XT is a toolset containing
  - Parser generators
  - Pretty-printer generators
  - Grammar engineering tools

#### **Transformation Rule**

- Encodes a basic transformation step as a rewrite on an abstract syntax tree
- Examples:
  - EvalPlus : Plus(Int(i), Int(j)) -> Int(k)
    where <add>(i, j) => k

- LetSplit : Let([d1, d2 | d\*], e\*) ->
 Let([d1], Let([d2 | d\*], e\*))

## **Representing Terms**

- Rewrite rules apply to abstract syntax trees
  - As opposed to parse trees
- Abstract syntax trees are represented by firstorder prefix terms
  - E.g., If(cond, then, else)
- Syntax tree fragments can be described using the concrete syntax of the object language.

- EvalPlus : |[ i + j ]| -> |[ k ]|
where <add>(i, j) => k

# Transformation Rule (2)

- Rewrite rules can be broken done into the more basic actions
  - Matching
  - Building
  - Variable scope
- Typically, rewrite rules are context-free
  - Scoped dynamic rewrite rules can be used to generate rewrite rules in runtime
  - Dynamic rules can encode contextual information

# **Term Rewriting**

- Term rewriting is the exhaustive application of a set of rewrite rules to a term until no rule applies anywhere in the term
  - Also called normalization
- Example

- ... reduces to Minus(Int(7), Var("a")) by
 repeatedly applying EvalPlus

# Term Rewriting (2)

- Exhaustive rewriting is used in most rewriting tools
- However, normalizing a term with respect to all rules is not always desirable
- Often this is done by using special kind of rules
  - Example: encode evaluation order in rules

# **Programmable Rewriting**

- Stratego makes rewriting strategy explicit and programmable
- One has to define explicitly
  - Which rules to apply
  - Which strategy to follow
- Example

- simplify = innermost(EvalPlus + LetSplit +
 ...)

## **Transformation Strategy**

- Strategy is an algorithm that transforms a term into another term or fails at doing so
- Combines a set of rules into a complete transformation
  - Orders their application using control and traversal combinators
- Important property: ability to define generic traversals

- Do not depend on specific data types

# **Strategy Combinators**

- Stratego's approach is to allow building complex strategies from very simple building blocks
  - Sequential composition (s1; s2)
  - Deterministic choice (s1 <+ s2; first try s1, only if that fails s2)
  - Non-deterministic choice (s1 + s2; same as <+, but the order of trying is not defined1)</li>
  - Guarded choice (s1 < s2 + s3; if s1 succeeds then commit to s2 else s3)

# Strategy Combinators (2)

- Building blocks
  - Testing (where(s); ignores the transformation achieved)
  - Negation (not(s); succeeds if s fails)
  - Recursion (rec x(s))

#### **Strategy Definitions**

- $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = s$ 
  - Define strategy f
  - $x_1 ... x_n$ : strategy arguments
- Examples
  - try(s) = s <+ id
    - Applies strategy s, succeeds even if it fails.
  - repeat(s) = try(s; repeat(s))
    Repeats transformation s until it fails

# Strategy Definitions (2)

- Strategy definitions do not explicitly mention the term to which they are applied
- Instead, they combine term transformations into more complex term transformations

#### **Congruence Operator**

#### Basically match and apply

- control-flow(s) =
 Assign(id, s)
 + If(s, id, id)
 + While(s, id)

- map(s) = [] + [s | map(s)]

Defines traversals that are specific to a data type

#### **Generic Traversals**

- Not specific to any data type
- One-pass traversals:
  - all(s) applies s to each subterm of current term
  - bottomup(s) = all(bottomup(s)); s
  - topdown(s) = s; all(topdown(s))
  - alltd(s) = s <+ all(alltd(s))</pre>
  - oncetd(s) = s <+ one(oncetd(s))</pre>

### Generic Traversals (2)

Fixpoint traversals:

- innermost(s) =
 bottomup(
 try(s; innermost(s)))

#### **Cascading Transformations**

#### Applying several small transformation steps.

- simplify =
 innermost(R1 <+ ... <+ Rn)</pre>

## **Staged Transformations**

#### Transformations are applied in stages

- simplify =
  - innermost(A1 <+ ... <+ Ak)</pre>
  - ; innermost(B1 <+ ... <+ Bl)
  - ; · · ·
  - ; innermost(C1 <+ ... <+ Cm)
- Can be combined with cascading transformations
- Because rules are independent from strategies, they can be reused in different stages

#### Local Transformations

 Transformations are applied only to subtree of the program where they make the most sense

```
- transformation =
    alltd(
    trigger-transformation
    ; innermost(A1 <+ ... <+ An)
    )</pre>
```

 trigger-transformation selects one node where the cascading transformation is applied

#### **First-Class Pattern Matching**

- In addition to rules, pattern matching is available as primitives in strategies
  - EvalPlus : Plus(Int(i), Int(j)) -> Int(k)
    where <add> (i, j) => k

- EvalPlus = {i,j,k: ?Plus(Int(i), Int(j));
 where(!(i,j); add; ?k); !Int(k)}

# **Dynamic Rules**

- Pure rewriting rules and strategies are context-free
- Passing context through arguments can quickly become tedious
  - List of defined functions
  - List of defined variables
- Stratego offers mutable global state in the form of dynamic rules

# Dynamic Rules (2)

- Generated at run-time
- Can access information available from their generation context

#### Dynamic Rule Example

```
DeclareFun =
  ?fdec@[[function f(x1^*) ta = e1 ]];
  rules(
    InlineFun :
       [ f(a*) ] | -> | [ let d* in e2 end ] |
       where <rename> fdec =>
            \left[ function f(x2^*) ta = e2 \right] \right]
         ; \langle zip(BindVar) \rangle (x2^*, a^*) = d^*
BindVar :
  (FArg |[ x ta ]|, e) ->
     |[ var x ta := e ]|
```

# Dynamic Rule Scope

- Restricts the scope of new definitions of the dynamic rule
  - Rule is removed if execution goes out of scope
- Example:
  - inline = {| InlineFun: try(DeclareFun) ; repeat(InlineFun + Simplify) ; all(inline) ; repeat(Simplify) |}

### **Term Annotations**

- Abstract syntax of programs is expressed in terms
  - Term = Constructor + list of argument terms
- Sometimes it is useful to attach information to term without changing it
- This information can be stored in annotations
  - Each term has list of annotations
  - Annotations are also terms

# Term Annotations (2)

- Annotations can be processed like any other terms
- Example:

- TypeCheck : Plus(e1{Int}, e2{Int}) ->
 Plus(e1, e2){Int}

- Can be used for
  - Type checking
  - Strictness analysis
  - Bound-unbound variables analysis

#### **Problems with Annotations**

- Transformations are supposed to preserve annotations
  - Stratego's traversals do that
  - When transforming a term, this is not so simple, because this should follow semantics of the annotations
- Should annotations affect equality between terms?

## **Transformation Tool**

- Wraps a composition of rules and strategies into a stand-alone, deployable component
- Can be called from the command-line or from other tools
- Transforms terms into terms

 All the tools in Stratego/XT toolkit use standard ATerm format for terms

## **Transformation System**

- Composition of tools that performs a complete source-to-source transformation
- Consists of:
  - Parser
  - Pretty-printer
  - Transformation tools



