

Coinductive big-step semantics for concurrency

Tarmo Uustalu, Institute of Cybernetics, Tallinn

Theory Days at Otepää, 1–3 February 2013

Two popular misconceptions

- Big-step operational semantics cannot account for diverging program behaviors.
- One cannot give big-step semantics for languages for concurrency: concurrency is inherently small-step.
- This talk: We refute both.
- To capture divergence, use the idea of possibly infinitely delayed states (taking it seriously that internal actions of a program take time).
- To deal with concurrency, use a suitable notion of resumptions/traces.
- We develop the metatheory of our semantics in a constructive setting.

Syntax

$$s ::= x := e \mid \text{skip} \mid s_0; s_1 \mid \text{if } e \text{ then } s_t \text{ else } s_f \mid \text{while } e \text{ do } s_t \\ \mid s_0 \parallel s_1 \mid \text{atomic } s \mid \text{await } e \text{ do } s$$

Big-step semantics: Resumptions

$$\frac{\sigma : \text{state}}{\text{ret } \sigma : \text{res}} \quad \frac{r : \text{res}}{\delta r : \text{res}} \quad \frac{r_0 : \text{res} \quad r_1 : \text{res}}{r_0 + r_1 : \text{res}} \quad \frac{s : \text{stmt} \quad \sigma : \text{state}}{\text{yield } s \sigma : \text{res}}$$

(double rule lines for coinductive definitions)

ret σ - terminated computation

δr - computation that first makes an internal action

$r_0 + r_1$ - computation that first make a choice

yield $s \sigma$ - suspended computation

eg

$$\delta^3 (\delta^2 (\text{ret } [x \mapsto 5]) \\ + \delta^4 (\delta^\infty + \delta (\text{yield } x := x + 7 [x \mapsto 3])))$$

Evaluation

$$\begin{array}{c} \overline{\overline{x := e, \sigma \Rightarrow \delta (\text{ret } \sigma[x \mapsto \llbracket e \rrbracket \sigma])}} \\ \overline{\overline{\text{skip}, \sigma \Rightarrow \text{ret } \sigma}} \quad \overline{\overline{s_0, \sigma \Rightarrow r \quad s_1, r \Rightarrow^{\text{seq}} r'}} \\ \overline{\overline{s_0; s_1, \sigma \Rightarrow r'}} \\ \overline{\overline{\sigma \models e}} \\ \overline{\overline{\text{if } e \text{ then } s_t \text{ else } s_f, \sigma \Rightarrow \delta (\text{yield } s_t \sigma)}} \\ \overline{\overline{\sigma \not\models e}} \\ \overline{\overline{\text{if } e \text{ then } s_t \text{ else } s_f, \sigma \Rightarrow \delta (\text{yield } s_f \sigma)}} \\ \overline{\overline{\sigma \models e}} \\ \overline{\overline{\text{while } e \text{ do } s_t, \sigma \Rightarrow \delta (\text{yield } (s_t; \text{while } e \text{ do } s_t) \sigma)}} \\ \overline{\overline{\sigma \not\models e}} \\ \overline{\overline{\text{while } e \text{ do } s_t, \sigma \Rightarrow \delta (\text{ret } \sigma)}} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{s_0, \sigma \Rightarrow r_0 \quad s_1, r_0 \Rightarrow^{\text{par}} r'_0 \quad s_1, \sigma \Rightarrow r_1 \quad s_0, r_1 \Rightarrow^{\text{par}} r'_1}{\frac{s_0 \parallel s_1, \sigma \Rightarrow r'_0 + r'_1}{\frac{s, \sigma \Rightarrow r \quad r \rightsquigarrow r'}{\text{atomic } s, \sigma \Rightarrow r'}}} \\
\frac{\sigma \models e \quad s, \sigma \Rightarrow r \quad r \rightsquigarrow r'}{\text{await } e \text{ do } s, \sigma \Rightarrow \delta r'} \\
\frac{\sigma \not\models e}{\text{await } e \text{ do } s, \sigma \Rightarrow \delta \text{ (yield (await } e \text{ do } s) \sigma)}
\end{array}$$

Sequential | parallel extension of evaluation

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{\frac{\frac{s, \text{ret } \sigma \Rightarrow^{\text{seq}} \text{yield } s \ \sigma}{s, r \Rightarrow^{\text{seq}} r'}}{s, \delta r \Rightarrow^{\text{seq}} \delta r'}}{s, r_0 \Rightarrow^{\text{seq}} r'_0 \quad s, r_1 \Rightarrow^{\text{seq}} r'_1}}{s, r_0 + r_1 \Rightarrow^{\text{seq}} r'_0 + r'_1}} \\ \hline s, \text{yield } s_0 \ \sigma \Rightarrow^{\text{par}} \text{yield } (s_0; s) \ \sigma \end{array}$$
$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{\frac{\frac{s, \text{ret } \sigma \Rightarrow^{\text{par}} \text{yield } s \ \sigma}{s, r \Rightarrow^{\text{par}} r'}}{s, \delta r \Rightarrow^{\text{par}} \delta r'}}{s, r_0 \Rightarrow^{\text{par}} r'_0 \quad s, r_1 \Rightarrow^{\text{par}} r'_1}}{s, r_0 + r_1 \Rightarrow^{\text{par}} r'_0 + r'_1}} \\ \hline s, \text{yield } s_0 \ \sigma \Rightarrow^{\text{par}} \text{yield } (s_0 \parallel s) \ \sigma \end{array}$$

Closing a resumption

$$\begin{array}{l} \overline{\overline{\text{ret } \sigma \rightsquigarrow \text{ret } \sigma}} \\ \overline{\overline{\delta r \rightsquigarrow \delta r'}} \\ \overline{\overline{r_0 \rightsquigarrow r'_0 \quad r_1 \rightsquigarrow r'_1}} \\ \overline{\overline{r_0 + r_1 \rightsquigarrow r'_0 + r'_1}} \\ \overline{\overline{s, \sigma \Rightarrow r \quad r \rightsquigarrow r'}} \\ \overline{\overline{\text{yield } s \quad \sigma \rightsquigarrow \delta r'}} \end{array}$$

Examples

$s = x := 1 \parallel (x := x + 2; x := x + 2),$

$\sigma = [x \mapsto 0],$

$s, \sigma \Rightarrow \delta(\mathit{yield} (x := x + 2; x := x + 2) [x \mapsto 1])$

$+ \delta(\mathit{yield} (x := 1 \parallel x := x + 2) [x \mapsto 2]),$

$\mathit{atomic} s, \sigma \Rightarrow$

$\delta^5(\mathit{ret} [x \mapsto 5]) + \delta^2(\delta^3(\mathit{ret} [x \mapsto 3]) + \delta^3(\mathit{ret} [x \mapsto 1])).$

$s = (\mathit{await} x = 0 \mathit{do} x := 1) \parallel x := 2,$

$\sigma = [x \mapsto 0],$

$s, \sigma \Rightarrow \delta^2(\mathit{yield} x := 2 [x \mapsto 1])$

$+ \delta^1(\mathit{yield} (\mathit{await} x = 0 \mathit{do} x := 1) [x \mapsto 2]),$

$\mathit{atomic} s, \sigma \Rightarrow \delta^4(\mathit{ret} [x \mapsto 2]) + \delta^\infty.$

Strong bisimilarity

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \overline{\overline{\text{ret } \sigma \sim \text{ret } \sigma}} & \overline{\overline{r \sim r_*}} & \overline{\overline{r_0 \sim r_{0*} \quad r_1 \sim r_{1*}}} & \overline{\overline{\text{yield } s \sigma \sim \text{yield } s \sigma}} \\ \delta r \sim \delta r_* & r_0 + r_1 \sim r_{0*} + r_{1*} & & \end{array}$$

Small-step semantics: Extended configurations

$$\frac{\sigma : \text{state}}{\text{ret } \sigma : \text{xcfg}} \quad \frac{s : \text{stmt} \quad \sigma : \text{state}}{\delta (s, \sigma) : \text{xcfg}}$$
$$\frac{s_0 : \text{stmt} \quad \sigma_0 : \text{state} \quad s_1 : \text{stmt} \quad \sigma_1 : \text{state}}{(s_0, \sigma_0) + (s_1, \sigma_1) : \text{xcfg}}$$
$$\frac{s : \text{stmt} \quad \sigma : \text{state}}{\text{yield } s \sigma : \text{xcfg}}$$

Single-step reduction

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{}{x := e, \sigma \rightarrow \delta (\text{skip}, \sigma[x \mapsto \llbracket e \rrbracket \sigma])} \quad \frac{}{\text{skip}, \sigma \rightarrow \text{ret } \sigma} \\ \frac{s_0, \sigma \rightarrow \text{ret } \sigma'}{s_0; s_1, \sigma \rightarrow \text{yield } s_1 \sigma'} \quad \frac{s_0, \sigma \rightarrow \delta (s'_0, \sigma')}{s_0; s_1, \sigma \rightarrow \delta (s'_0; s_1, \sigma')} \\ \frac{s_0, \sigma \rightarrow (s_{00}, \sigma_0) + (s_{01}, \sigma_1)}{s_0; s_1, \sigma \rightarrow (s_{00}; s_1, \sigma_0) + (s_{01}; s_1, \sigma_1)} \quad \frac{s_0, \sigma \rightarrow \text{yield } s'_0 \sigma'}{s_0; s_1, \sigma \rightarrow \text{yield } (s'_0; s_1) \sigma'} \\ \frac{\sigma \models e}{\text{if } e \text{ then } s_t \text{ else } s_f, \sigma \rightarrow \delta (\text{skip}; s_t, \sigma)} \\ \frac{\sigma \not\models e}{\text{if } e \text{ then } s_t \text{ else } s_f, \sigma \rightarrow \delta (\text{skip}; s_f, \sigma)} \\ \frac{\sigma \models e}{\text{while } e \text{ do } s_t, \sigma \rightarrow \delta (\text{skip}; (s_t; \text{while } e \text{ do } s_t), \sigma)} \\ \frac{\sigma \not\models e}{\text{while } e \text{ do } s_t, \sigma \rightarrow \delta (\text{skip}, \sigma)} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{}{s_0 \parallel s_1, \sigma \rightarrow (s_0 \parallel s_1, \sigma) + (s_1 \parallel s_0, \sigma)} \\
\frac{s_0, \sigma \rightarrow \text{ret } \sigma'}{s_0 \parallel s_1, \sigma \rightarrow \text{yield } s_1 \sigma'} \quad \frac{s_0, \sigma \rightarrow \delta (s'_0, \sigma')}{s_0 \parallel s_1, \sigma \rightarrow \delta (s'_0 \parallel s_1, \sigma')} \\
\frac{s_0, \sigma \rightarrow (s_{00}, \sigma_0) + (s_{01}, \sigma_1)}{s_0 \parallel s_1, \sigma \rightarrow (s_{00} \parallel s_1, \sigma_0) + (s_{01} \parallel s_1, \sigma_1)} \quad \frac{s_0, \sigma \rightarrow \text{yield } s'_0 \sigma'}{s_0 \parallel s_1, \sigma \rightarrow \text{yield } (s'_0 \parallel s_1) \sigma'} \\
\frac{s, \sigma \rightarrow \text{ret } \sigma'}{\text{atomic } s, \sigma \rightarrow \text{ret } \sigma'} \quad \frac{s, \sigma \rightarrow \delta (s', \sigma')}{\text{atomic } s, \sigma \rightarrow \delta (\text{atomic } s', \sigma')} \\
\frac{s, \sigma \rightarrow (s_0, \sigma_0) + (s_1, \sigma_1)}{\text{atomic } s, \sigma \rightarrow (\text{atomic } s_0, \sigma_0) + (\text{atomic } s_1, \sigma_1)} \quad \frac{s, \sigma \rightarrow \text{yield } s' \sigma'}{\text{atomic } s, \sigma \rightarrow \delta (\text{atomic } s', \sigma')} \\
\frac{\sigma \models e}{\text{await } e \text{ do } s, \sigma \rightarrow \delta (\text{atomic } s, \sigma)} \\
\frac{\sigma \not\models e}{\text{await } e \text{ do } s, \sigma \rightarrow \delta (\text{skip; await } e \text{ do } s, \sigma)}
\end{array}$$

Maximal multi-step reduction

$$\frac{\frac{s, \sigma \rightarrow \text{ret } s'}{\underline{s, \sigma \rightarrow^m \text{ret } s'}}}{\frac{s, \sigma \rightarrow \delta (s', \sigma') \quad s', \sigma' \rightarrow^m r}{\underline{s, \sigma \rightarrow^m \delta r}}}$$
$$\frac{s, \sigma \rightarrow (s_0, \sigma_0) + (s_1, \sigma_1) \quad s_0, \sigma_0 \rightarrow^m r_0 \quad s_1, \sigma_1 \rightarrow^m r_1}{\underline{s, \sigma \rightarrow^m r_0 + r_1}}$$
$$\frac{s, \sigma \rightarrow \text{yield } s' \sigma'}{\underline{s, \sigma \rightarrow^m \text{yield } s' \sigma'}}$$

Maximal multi-step reduction agrees with evaluation: $s, \sigma \Rightarrow r$
iff $s, \sigma \rightarrow^m r$.

Traces instead of resumptions

Remove the $+$ constructor from the definitions of resumptions and extended configurations.

Instead of a single rule with $+$ in the resumption resp. extended configuration in the conclusion make two rules for evaluation and single-step reduction for \parallel . turning evaluation and single-step reduction non-deterministic.

Giant-step semantics

Replace the rule

$$\frac{s : stmt \quad \sigma : state}{yield\ s\ \sigma : res}$$

in the definition of resumptions by

$$\frac{f : state \rightarrow res \quad \sigma : state}{yield\ s\ f : res}$$

and adjust the evaluation rules.

Conclusions

- With a careful design, giving big-step semantics for languages for concurrency is entirely possible, also taking into account infinite behaviors, incl. divergence.
- Depending on the purpose at hand, there are some choices to be made: resumptions vs traces, big-step vs giant-step, what the appropriate notion of observational equivalence (weak bisimilarity is).
- Concurrency is no more complicated than, say, interactive I/O.