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#### Abstract

We give necessary and sufficient conditions for equalizer preservation of the functor of tensor multiplication by a distributor and some sufficient conditions for a functor between small categories to be an effective descent functor.


## 1. Introduction

A functor $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ between small categories is called an effective descent functor if the so-called extension-of-scalars functor $f_{!}: \operatorname{Fun}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\text {op }}\right.$, Set $) \longrightarrow$ Fun ( $\mathcal{B}^{\circ \mathrm{p}}$, Set $)$, induced by $f$, is comonadic. In this paper we give some sufficient conditions for $f$ to be an effective descent functor. In Section 2 we give necessary and sufficient conditions for equalizer preservation for a more general situation than just for $f_{!}$. The results of Section 3 generalize the results of [6], where similar problems were considered for one-object categories (i.e. monoids) $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$.

Throughout this paper, $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ will stand for small categories. By $\mathbf{1}$ we denote the discrete category with a single object $*$ and $\hat{\mathcal{A}}=\operatorname{Fun}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\text {op }}\right.$, Set $)$. A distributor (or a profunctor; see e.g. [4]) from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{B}$ is a functor $\phi$ : $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow$ Set. We write $\operatorname{Dist}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})=\operatorname{Fun}\left(\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathcal{A}, \operatorname{Set}\right)$. By $(\hat{-}): \operatorname{Fun}\left(\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}} \times\right.$ $\mathcal{A}$, Set $) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{A}, \hat{\mathcal{B}})$ and $(=): \operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{A}, \hat{\mathcal{B}}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Fun}\left(\mathcal{B}^{\text {op }} \times \mathcal{A}\right.$, Set $)$ we denote in the obvious way defined mutually inverse isomorphism functors.

Let $\phi: \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow$ Set be a distributor and $x \in \phi(B, A), B \in \mathcal{B}, A \in \mathcal{A}$. If $a: A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ then we write $a \cdot x:=\phi\left(1_{B}^{\mathrm{op}}, a\right)(x) \in \phi\left(B, A^{\prime}\right)$ and if $b: B^{\prime} \rightarrow B$ in $\mathcal{B}$ then we write $x \cdot b:=\phi\left(b^{\mathrm{op}}, 1_{A}\right)(x) \in \phi\left(B^{\prime}, A\right)$.

Consider now distributors $\phi: \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow$ Set and $\psi: \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow$ Set, and the Yoneda functor $Y_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{A}}$. Then $\hat{\phi}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{B}}$ and there exists a left Kan extension $\mathrm{L}_{Y_{\mathcal{A}}}(\hat{\phi}): \hat{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{B}}$ of $\hat{\phi}$ along $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathcal{A}}$ (this follows from the

[^0]existence of small colimits in Set), which is denoted just $\mathrm{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\hat{\phi})$. Thus the composite or tensor product of $\psi$ and $\phi$ can be defined as the distributor
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi \otimes \phi:= & \overline{\mathrm{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\hat{\phi}) \circ \hat{\psi}}: \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text { Set. } \\
& \hat{\mathcal{A}} \xrightarrow[\mathrm{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\hat{\phi}) \circ \hat{\psi}]{ }{ }_{\mathcal{C}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathcal{A}} \\
& \hat{\mathcal{B}}
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Note that for every $C \in \mathcal{C}, B \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$
(\psi \otimes \phi)(B, C)=\frac{\bigsqcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \psi(A, C) \times \phi(B, A)}{\sim}
$$

is the quotient set by the smallest equivalence relation $\sim$ generated by all pairs $(x, y) \sim\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right), x \in \psi(A, C), y \in \phi(B, A), x^{\prime} \in \psi\left(A^{\prime}, C\right), y^{\prime} \in \phi\left(B, A^{\prime}\right)$, such that

$$
x=x^{\prime} \cdot a \quad \text { and } \quad a \cdot y=y^{\prime}
$$

for some $a: A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{A}$. The last equalities can be illustrated by the "commutative" diagram

where the dotted arrow labelled by $y$, for example, stands for the element $y$ of $\phi(B, A)$. It is not difficult to see that $(x, y) \sim\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if there exists a "commutative" diagram


We denote the equivalence class of $(x, y) \in \psi(A, C) \times \phi(B, A)$ by $x \otimes_{A} y$, or just $x \otimes y$. So the basic rule for calculations is

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \cdot a \otimes_{A} y=x \otimes_{A^{\prime}} a \cdot y \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $a: A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{A}, x \in \psi\left(A^{\prime}, C\right), y \in \phi(B, A)$.

For a fixed distributor $\phi \in \operatorname{Dist}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ one may consider the functor $-\otimes \phi: \operatorname{Dist}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Dist}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B})$ of tensor multiplication by $\phi$, given by the assignment

where the component $(\mu \otimes \phi)_{(B, C)}=\overline{\mathrm{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(\hat{\phi}) \circ \hat{\mu}_{(B, C)}}:(\psi \otimes \phi)(B, C) \longrightarrow$ $\left(\psi^{\prime} \otimes \phi\right)(B, C)$ of the natural transformation $\mu \otimes \phi$ at $(B, C) \in \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathcal{C}$ is the mapping given by

$$
(\mu \otimes \phi)_{(B, C)}\left(k \otimes_{A} l\right):=\mu_{(A, C)}(k) \otimes_{A} l
$$

where $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is such that $(k, l) \in \psi(A, C) \times \phi(B, A)$.

## 2. Equalizer flatness

The aim of this section is to obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for equalizer preservation of the functor $-\otimes \phi$, that will be applied in Section 3.

If $\mathcal{C}=\mathbf{1}$ then replacing $\operatorname{Dist}(\mathbf{1}, \mathcal{A})$ and $\operatorname{Dist}(\mathbf{1}, \mathcal{B})$ by isomorphic categories $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$, we may assume that, for $\phi \in \operatorname{Dist}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}), \psi \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$, and $B \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\psi \otimes \phi)(B)=\frac{\bigsqcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \psi(A) \times \phi(B, A)}{\sim} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the quotient set by the smallest equivalence relation $\sim$ generated by all pairs $(x, y) \sim\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ such that

$$
x=x^{\prime} \cdot a=\psi\left(a^{\mathrm{op}}\right)\left(x^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \phi\left(1_{B}^{\mathrm{op}}, a\right)(y)=a \cdot y=y^{\prime}
$$

for some $a: A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{A}$.
Note that two parallel morphisms $\mu, \nu: \psi \Rightarrow \chi$ in $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ always have a canonical equalizer $(\alpha, \varepsilon)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha(A) & =\left\{x \in \psi(A) \mid \mu_{A}(x)=\nu_{A}(x)\right\}, \\
\alpha(f)\left(x^{\prime}\right) & =\psi(f)\left(x^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $A, A^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}, x^{\prime} \in \psi(A)$ and $f: A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}$, and $\varepsilon_{A}: \alpha(A) \rightarrow \psi(A)$ is the inclusion mapping for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

We shall need the following
Lemma 1. Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Dist}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ and $\psi=\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}(A,-)=\mathcal{A}(-, A) \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $a^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_{A_{0}} y=\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_{A^{\prime}} y^{\prime}$ in $(\psi \otimes \phi)(B)$ if and only if $a \cdot y=a^{\prime} \cdot y^{\prime}$.

Proof. Necessity. The equality $a^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_{A_{0}} y=\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_{A^{\prime}} y^{\prime}$ in $(\psi \otimes \phi)(B)$ means that there exists a "commutative" diagram


Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
a \cdot y & =a \cdot\left(a_{0} \cdot y_{1}\right)=\left(a \circ a_{0}\right) \cdot y_{1}=x_{1} \cdot y_{1} \\
& =\left(x_{2} \circ a_{1}\right) \cdot y_{1}=x_{2} \cdot\left(a_{1} \cdot y_{1}\right)=x_{2} \cdot y_{2}=\ldots \\
& =x_{n} \cdot y_{n}=\left(a^{\prime} \circ a_{n}\right) \cdot y_{n}=a^{\prime} \cdot\left(a_{n} \cdot y_{n}\right)=a^{\prime} \cdot y^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Sufficiency. If $a \cdot y=a^{\prime} \cdot y^{\prime}$ then $a^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_{A_{0}} y=1_{A}^{\mathrm{op}} \cdot a \otimes_{A_{0}} y=1_{A}^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_{A} a \cdot y=$ $1_{A}^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_{A} a^{\prime} \cdot y^{\prime}=1_{A}^{\mathrm{op}} \cdot a^{\prime} \otimes_{A^{\prime}} y^{\prime}=\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_{A^{\prime}} y^{\prime}$.

The next theorem generalizes Proposition 1.1 of [3] from monoids to small categories.

Theorem 2. For small categories $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ and a distributor $\phi \in \operatorname{Dist}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$, the following assertions are equivalent:
(1) the functor $-\otimes \phi: \operatorname{Dist}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Dist}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B})$ preserves equalizers for every small category $\mathcal{C}$;
(2) the functor $-\otimes \phi: \operatorname{Dist}(\mathbf{1}, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Dist}(\mathbf{1}, \mathcal{B})$ preserves equalizers;
(3) the functor $-\otimes \phi: \operatorname{Dist}(\mathbf{1}, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Dist}(\mathbf{1}, \mathcal{B})$ takes regular monomorphisms to monomorphisms, and for every $\chi \in \operatorname{Dist}(\mathbf{1}, \mathcal{A})$ and every $l \in \phi(B, A), k, k^{\prime} \in \chi(A), A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{B}$, the equality $k \otimes_{A} l=k^{\prime} \otimes_{A} l$ in $(\chi \otimes \phi)(B)$ implies that $l=a \cdot l^{\prime}$ and $k \cdot a=k^{\prime} \cdot$ a for some $a: A^{\prime} \rightarrow A$ in $\mathcal{A}$ and $l^{\prime} \in \phi\left(B, A^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. Obviously (1) $\Rightarrow(2)$. The implication (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) holds because limits in functor categories are pointwise.
$(3) \Rightarrow(2)$. Assume that condition (3) is satisfied. Again, we identify $\operatorname{Dist}(\mathbf{1}, \mathcal{A})$ and $\operatorname{Dist}(\mathbf{1}, \mathcal{B})$ with $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$, respectively. Consider arbitrary $\psi, \chi \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mu, \nu: \psi \Rightarrow \chi$. It suffices to prove that the functor $-\otimes \phi$ preserves the canonical equalizer $(\alpha, \varepsilon)$ of $(\mu, \nu)$. For this, we need to prove that the distributor $\alpha \otimes \phi$ is naturally isomorphic to the canonical equalizer $\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$ of $(\mu \otimes \phi, \nu \otimes \phi)$ in $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$.

Note that, for every $B \in \mathcal{B},(\alpha \otimes \phi)(B)=\frac{\bigsqcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \alpha(A) \times \phi(B, A)}{\approx}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha^{\prime}(B) & =\left\{z \in(\psi \otimes \phi)(B) \mid(\mu \otimes \phi)_{B}(z)=(\nu \otimes \phi)_{B}(z)\right\} \\
& =\left\{\left.x \otimes_{A} y \in \frac{\bigsqcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \psi(A) \times \phi(B, A)}{\sim} \right\rvert\, \mu_{A}(x) \otimes_{A} y=\nu_{A}(x) \otimes_{A} y\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sim$ and $\approx$ are the relations defined as in (2). If $x \otimes_{A} y \in(\alpha \otimes \phi)(B)$ then $(x, y) \in \psi(A) \times \phi(B, A)$, and $\mu_{A}(x) \otimes_{A} y=\nu_{A}(x) \otimes_{A} y$, because

$$
\mu_{A}(x)=\mu_{A}\left(\varepsilon_{A}(x)\right)=(\mu \circ \varepsilon)_{A}(x)=(\nu \circ \varepsilon)_{A}(x)=\nu_{A}\left(\varepsilon_{A}(x)\right)=\nu_{A}(x) .
$$

Hence we may define a mapping $\tau_{B}:(\alpha \otimes \phi)(B) \rightarrow \alpha^{\prime}(B)$ by

$$
\tau_{B}\left(x \otimes_{A} y\right):=x \otimes_{A} y .
$$

It is straightforward to show that $\tau=\left(\tau_{B}\right)_{B \in \mathcal{B o p}}: \alpha \otimes \phi \Rightarrow \alpha^{\prime}$ is a natural transformation and the triangle in diagram (3) commutes. Since $\varepsilon \otimes \phi$ is a monomorphism by the assumption, we conclude that $\tau$ is a monomorphism.

To finish the proof, we show that each $\tau_{B}, B \in \mathcal{B}$, is surjective (hence an isomorphism in Set, and thus $\tau$ is an isomorphism in $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$. Let $x \otimes_{A} y \in \alpha^{\prime}(B)$, so $x \in \psi(A), y \in \phi(B, A)$, and $\mu_{A}(x) \otimes_{A} y=\nu_{A}(x) \otimes_{A} y$ in $(\chi \otimes \phi)(B)$ for some $A \in \mathcal{A}$. By the assumption, there exist $a: A^{\prime} \rightarrow A$ in $\mathcal{A}$ and $y^{\prime} \in \phi\left(B, A^{\prime}\right)$ such that $y=a \cdot y^{\prime}$ and $\chi\left(a^{\mathrm{op}}\right)\left(\mu_{A}(x)\right)=\chi\left(a^{\mathrm{op}}\right)\left(\nu_{A}(x)\right)$. Now $\psi\left(a^{\mathrm{op}}\right)(x) \in \psi\left(A^{\prime}\right)$ and

$$
\mu_{A^{\prime}}\left(\psi\left(a^{\mathrm{op}}\right)(x)\right)=\chi\left(a^{\mathrm{op}}\right)\left(\mu_{A}(x)\right)=\chi\left(a^{\mathrm{op}}\right)\left(\nu_{A}(x)\right)=\nu_{A^{\prime}}\left(\psi\left(a^{\mathrm{op}}\right)(x)\right)
$$

mean that $\psi\left(a^{\mathrm{op}}\right)(x) \in \alpha\left(A^{\prime}\right)$ and $\psi\left(a^{\mathrm{op}}\right)(x) \otimes_{A^{\prime}} y^{\prime} \in(\alpha \otimes \phi)(B)$. Using property (1) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{B}\left(\psi\left(a^{\mathrm{op}}\right)(x) \otimes_{A^{\prime}} y^{\prime}\right) & =\psi\left(a^{\mathrm{op}}\right)(x) \otimes_{A^{\prime}} y^{\prime}=x \cdot a \otimes_{A^{\prime}} y^{\prime} \\
& =x \otimes_{A} a \cdot y^{\prime}=x \otimes_{A} y
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). Assume that $-\otimes \phi$ preserves equalizers. Then it obviousy takes regular monomorphisms to monomorphisms. Suppose that $\chi \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$, $l \in \phi(B, A), k, k^{\prime} \in \chi(A), A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{B}$, are such that $k \otimes_{A} l=k^{\prime} \otimes_{A} l$ in $(\chi \otimes \phi)(B)$. Consider the functor $\psi=\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}(A,-): \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow$ Set, and, for every $A^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}$, define the mappings $\mu_{A^{\prime}}, \nu_{A^{\prime}}: \psi\left(A^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \chi\left(A^{\prime}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{A^{\prime}}\left(a^{\mathrm{op}}\right) & :=\chi\left(a^{\mathrm{op}}\right)(k)=k \cdot a, \\
\nu_{A^{\prime}}\left(a^{\mathrm{op}}\right) & :=\chi\left(a^{\mathrm{op}}\right)\left(k^{\prime}\right)=k^{\prime} \cdot a,
\end{aligned}
$$

$a: A^{\prime} \rightarrow A$ in $\mathcal{A}$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\chi\left(a_{1}^{\mathrm{op}}\right) \circ \mu_{A^{\prime}}\right)\left(a^{\mathrm{op}}\right) & =\chi\left(a_{1}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)(k \cdot a)=(k \cdot a) \cdot a_{1}=k \cdot\left(a \circ a_{1}\right) \\
& =\mu_{A^{\prime \prime}}\left(\left(a \circ a_{1}\right)^{\mathrm{op}}\right)=\mu_{A^{\prime \prime}}\left(a_{1}^{\mathrm{op}} \circ a^{\mathrm{op}}\right) \\
& =\left(\mu_{A^{\prime \prime}} \circ \psi\left(a_{1}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)\right)\left(a^{\mathrm{op}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $a: A^{\prime} \rightarrow A$ and $a_{1}: A^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{A}, \mu: \psi \Rightarrow \chi$ (and analogously $\nu: \psi \Rightarrow \chi)$ is a natural transformation.


Let $(\alpha, \varepsilon)$ be the canonical equalizer of $(\mu, \nu)$. By the assumption,

is an equalizer diagram in $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$. If $\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$ is the canonical equalizer of the pair $(\mu \otimes \phi, \nu \otimes \phi)$ and $B_{1} \in \mathcal{B}$ then $(\alpha \otimes \phi)\left(B_{1}\right)=\frac{\bigsqcup_{A_{1} \in \mathcal{A}} \alpha\left(A_{1}\right) \times \phi\left(B_{1}, A_{1}\right)}{\approx}$ and

$$
\alpha^{\prime}\left(B_{1}\right)=\left\{\left.x \otimes_{A_{2}} y \in \frac{\bigsqcup_{A_{1} \in \mathcal{A}} \psi\left(A_{1}\right) \times \phi\left(B_{1}, A_{1}\right)}{\sim} \right\rvert\, \mu_{A_{2}}(x) \otimes y=\nu_{A_{2}}(x) \otimes y\right\} .
$$

If $x \otimes_{A_{2}} y \in(\alpha \otimes \phi)\left(B_{1}\right)$ then $y \in \phi\left(B_{1}, A_{2}\right)$ and $x \in \alpha\left(A_{2}\right)$. The last means that $x \in \psi\left(A_{2}\right)$ and $\mu_{A_{2}}(x)=\nu_{A_{2}}(x)$, so $\mu_{A_{2}}(x) \otimes_{A_{2}} y=\nu_{A_{2}}(x) \otimes_{A_{2}} y$ and $x \otimes_{A_{2}} y \in \alpha^{\prime}\left(B_{1}\right)$. Therefore $(\alpha \otimes \phi)\left(B_{1}\right) \subseteq \alpha^{\prime}\left(B_{1}\right)$ for every $B_{1} \in \mathcal{B}$. Using the universal property of equalizers we conclude $\alpha \otimes \phi=\alpha^{\prime}$. Now for the element

$$
1_{A}^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_{A} l \in \frac{\bigsqcup_{A_{1} \in \mathcal{A}} \psi\left(A_{1}\right) \times \phi\left(B, A_{1}\right)}{\sim}=(\psi \otimes \phi)(B)
$$

we calculate
$\mu_{A}\left(1_{A}^{\mathrm{op}}\right) \otimes_{A} l=k \cdot 1_{A} \otimes_{A} l=k \otimes_{A} l=k^{\prime} \otimes_{A} l=k^{\prime} \cdot 1_{A} \otimes_{A} l=\nu_{A}\left(1_{A}^{\mathrm{op}}\right) \otimes_{A} l$.
Hence $1_{A}^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_{A} l \in \alpha^{\prime}(B)=(\alpha \otimes \phi)(B)$, which means that $1_{A}^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_{A} l=a^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_{A_{1}} l^{\prime}$ in $(\alpha \otimes \phi)(B)$ for some $l^{\prime} \in \phi\left(B, A_{1}\right), a: A_{1} \rightarrow A$ in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $a^{\text {op }} \in \alpha\left(A_{1}\right)$. The first equality implies by Lemma 1 that $l=a \cdot l^{\prime}$ and the fact that $a^{\mathrm{op}} \in \alpha\left(A_{1}\right)$ implies that $k \cdot a=\mu_{A_{1}}\left(a^{\text {op }}\right)=\nu_{A_{1}}\left(a^{\text {op }}\right)=k^{\prime} \cdot a$.

Remark 3. The second half of Condition (3) in Theorem 2 means that the existence of a "commutative" diagram

implies the existence of a "commutative" diagram


## 3. Descent functors and effective descent functors

First we recall some general results and definitions. Dualizing a part of Theorem 1, p. 138 of [7], we obtain

Theorem 4. Let $\langle F, G ; \eta, \varepsilon\rangle: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be an adjunction and $\mathbb{T}=\langle F G, \varepsilon$, $F \eta G\rangle$ the comonad it defines in $\mathcal{X}$. Then there is a (canonical) functor $K: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{\mathbb{T}}$, where $\mathcal{X}^{\mathbb{T}}$ is the category of all $\mathbb{T}$-coalgebras.

The dual of the following theorem of Beck can be found in [1], Theorem 3.9.
Theorem 5. In the situation of Theorem 4, $K$ is full and faithful if and only if $\eta_{Y}$ is a regular monomorphism for every $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$.

If $K$ is full and faithful then $F$ is called a functor of descent type. If $K$ is an equivalence of categories then $F$ is comonadic or of effective descent type.

We also shall use the following two results.
Lemma 6. If $\langle F, G ; \eta, \varepsilon\rangle: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is an adjunction and $F: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is of descent type then $F$ reflects isomorphisms.

Theorem 7. Let $\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X}$ be categories with equalizers. A functor $F: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is comonadic if and only if
(1) F has a right adjoint;
(2) $F$ reflects isomorphisms;
(3) $F$ preserves equalizers of those pairs $(h, g)$ for which $(F(h), F(g))$ is contractible.

Now, let $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a functor. We denote $\phi_{f}=\overline{\mathrm{Y}_{\mathcal{B}} \circ f}=\mathcal{B}(-, f(-)) \in$ $\operatorname{Dist}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$. Then the restriction-of-scalars functor $f_{*}=-\circ f^{\circ \mathrm{p}}: \hat{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ has a left adjoint $f_{!}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathcal{A}}\left(\mathrm{Y}_{\mathcal{B}} \circ f\right): \hat{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{B}}$ (extension-of-scalars), which is isomorphic to the functor $-\otimes \phi_{f}: \hat{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{B}}$ (see [2], Section 6.3).


Definition 8. A functor $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is called a descent functor (an effective descent functor), if $f_{!}$is of descent type (respectively, comonadic).

Note that the unit $\eta: 1_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}} \Rightarrow f_{*} \circ f_{!}$of the adjunction $f_{!} \dashv f_{*}$ is the natural transformation defined for every $\psi \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\eta_{\psi}\right)_{A}: \psi(A) & \rightarrow\left(\left(\psi \otimes \phi_{f}\right) \circ f^{\mathrm{op}}\right)(A)=\frac{\bigsqcup_{A^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}} \psi\left(A^{\prime}\right) \times \mathcal{B}\left(f(A), f\left(A^{\prime}\right)\right)}{\sim} \\
x & \mapsto x \otimes_{A} 1_{f(A)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$A \in \mathcal{A}, x \in \psi(A)$.
Proposition 9. A functor $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a descent functor if and only if $\left(\eta_{\psi}\right)_{A}$ is an injective mapping for every $\psi \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. For $\psi \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}, \eta_{\psi}$ is a regular monomorphism if and only if all $\left(\eta_{\psi}\right)_{A}$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$, are regular monomorphisms in Set (i.e. injective mappings). Hence the result follows from Theorem 5.

Corollary 10. Descent functors are faithful.
Proof. Consider a descent functor $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and morphisms $a, a^{\prime}: A \rightarrow$ $A_{0}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $f(a)=f\left(a^{\prime}\right)$. With $\psi=\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}\left(A_{0},-\right) \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ we calculate

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_{A} 1_{f(A)} & =1_{A_{0}}^{\mathrm{op}} \cdot a \otimes_{A} 1_{f(A)}=1_{A_{0}}^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_{A_{0}} a \cdot 1_{f(A)} \\
& =1_{A_{0}}^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_{A_{0}} f(a) \circ 1_{f(A)}=1_{A_{0}}^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_{A_{0}} f(a)=1_{A_{0}}^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_{A_{0}} f\left(a^{\prime}\right) \\
& =1_{A_{0}}^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_{A_{0}} f\left(a^{\prime}\right) \circ 1_{f(A)}=1_{A_{0}}^{\mathrm{op}} \cdot a^{\prime} \otimes_{A} 1_{f(A)}=\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_{A} 1_{f(A)}
\end{aligned}
$$

in $\left(\psi \otimes \phi_{f}\right)(f(A))$. Since $\left(\eta_{\psi}\right)_{A}$ is injective, $a=a^{\prime}$.
Now we give some sufficient conditions for $f$ to be an effective descent functor. By Theorem 7, $f$ is an effective descent functor, if the functor $f_{!}: \hat{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{B}}$ reflects isomorphisms and preserves all equalizers. Specializing Theorem 2 to $\phi_{f}$ we obtain

Proposition 11. Let $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a functor. The functor $-\otimes \phi_{f}: \hat{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{B}}$ preserves equalizers if and only if
(1) it takes regular monomorphisms to monomorphisms, and
(2) for every $\chi \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ and every $l \in \mathcal{B}(B, f(A))$, $k, k^{\prime} \in \chi(A), A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in$ $\mathcal{B}$, the equality $k \otimes_{A} l=k^{\prime} \otimes_{A} l$ in $\left(\chi \otimes_{f}\right)(B)$ implies that $l=$ $f(a) \circ l^{\prime}$ and $\chi\left(a^{\mathrm{op}}\right)(k)=\chi\left(a^{\mathrm{op}}\right)\left(k^{\prime}\right)$ for some $a: A^{\prime} \rightarrow A$ in $\mathcal{A}$ and $l^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B}\left(B, f\left(A^{\prime}\right)\right)$.

Proposition 12. Let $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a functor. If $f$ reflects split epimorphisms and the functor $-\otimes \phi_{f}: \hat{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{B}}$ preserves equalizers then $f$ is an effective descent functor.

Proof. Suppose that $x \otimes_{A} 1_{f(A)}=x^{\prime} \otimes_{A} 1_{f(A)}$ in $\left(\psi \otimes \phi_{f}\right)(f(A)), A \in \mathcal{A}$, $\psi \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}, x, x^{\prime} \in \psi(A)$. By Proposition 11, $1_{f(A)}=f(a) \circ l^{\prime}$ and $\psi\left(a^{\mathrm{op}}\right)(x)=$ $\psi\left(a^{\mathrm{op}}\right)\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ for some $a: A^{\prime} \rightarrow A$ in $\mathcal{A}$ and $l^{\prime}: f(A) \rightarrow f\left(A^{\prime}\right)$ in $\mathcal{B}$. Hence $a \circ a^{\prime}=1_{A}$ for some $a^{\prime}: A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{A}$. Consequently,

$$
x=\psi\left(1_{A}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)(x)=\psi\left(\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{op}} \circ a^{\mathrm{op}}\right)(x)=\psi\left(\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{op}} \circ a^{\mathrm{op}}\right)\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\psi\left(1_{A}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)\left(x^{\prime}\right)=x^{\prime}
$$

which means that $\left(\eta_{\psi}\right)_{A}$ is injective for every $\psi \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}$. By Proposition $9, f$ is a descent functor and by Lemma $6, f!$ reflects isomorphisms. The result now follows from Theorem 7.

Recall that a functor $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is flat if the functor $-\otimes \phi_{f}: \hat{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{B}}$ preserves finite limits. Similarly we say that a functor $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is pullback flat (equalizer flat) if the functor $-\otimes \phi_{f}: \hat{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{B}}$ preserves pullbacks (equalizers). Since pullback flatness implies equalizer flatness, by Proposition 12 we have the following implications for $f$ :
flat and reflects split epis $\Longrightarrow$ pullback flat and reflects split epis
$\Longrightarrow$ equalizer flat and reflects split epis $\Longrightarrow$ effective descent functor
$\Longrightarrow$ descent functor $\Longrightarrow$ faithful.
Using the fact that the left Kan extension $\mathrm{L}_{\mathcal{A}}(F): \hat{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow$ Set of a functor $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow$ Set preserves pullbacks if and only if the category of elements of $F$ is co-pseudofiltered (see [7], p. 212), as in Theorem 6.4 of [2] one can see that a functor $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is pullback flat if and only if the category $B \downarrow f$ is co-pseudofiltered for every object $B \in \mathcal{B}$.

Corollary 13. If $f$ reflects split epimorphisms and the category $B \downarrow f$ is co-pseudofiltered for every object $B \in \mathcal{B}$ then $f$ is an effective descent functor.

Corollary 14. Every faithful functor between groupoids is an effective descent functor.

Proof. Suppose that $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a faithful functor between groupoids. If $f\left(a_{1}\right) \circ b_{1}=b_{2}=f\left(a_{2}\right) \circ b_{1}$ in the right hand side of the diagram

then $f\left(a_{1}\right)=f\left(a_{2}\right)$ and $a_{1}=a_{2}$. Hence we can complete the diagram with dotted arrows. The rest of the proof is illustrated by the diagram
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