# On a generalization of strong flatness

## VALDIS LAAN

ABSTRACT. Strong flatness or flatness of right acts over a monoid S has been the topic of many papers on homological classification of monoids during the last decades. It has turned out to be useful to consider a property called condition (P), which lies strictly between these two properties. In this paper we define a new condition (O) and show that the class of S-acts satisfying this condition lies strictly between the classes of strongly flat S-acts and S-acts satisfying condition (P).

## Introduction

Let S (always in this paper) be a monoid with the identity element 1. A nonempty set A is called a right S-act (and denoted  $A_S$ ) if there exists a mapping  $A \times S \to A$ ,  $(a, s) \mapsto as$ , satisfying the conditions (as)t = a(st)and a1 = a, for all  $a \in A$  and all  $s, t \in S$ . Left S-acts  $_SA$  are defined dually. Every right (left) ideal I of S is in a natural way a right (resp. left) S-act. If  $A_S$  and  $B_S$  are right S-acts then a mapping  $f : A_S \to B_S$  is called a homomorphism if f(as) = f(a)s for all  $a \in A_S$  and  $s \in S$ . Analogously, homomorphisms of left S-acts are defined. If  $A_S$  and  $_SB$  are right and left S-acts, respectively, the tensor product  $A_S \otimes_S B$  can be taken as the quotient set  $(A \times B)/\tau$ , where  $\tau$  is the smallest equivalence relation on  $A \times B$  that identifies all pairs ((as, b), (a, sb)) for  $a \in A_S, b \in _SB, s \in S$ . The  $\tau$ -class of (a, b) is denoted  $a \otimes b$ , so  $as \otimes b = a \otimes sb$  for  $s \in S$ . Basic properties of acts and their tensor products are discussed in [3], for example.

Pullbacks of a pair of homomorphisms of left S-acts or a pair of mappings of sets are defined as in every category. It is not difficult to see that the pullback of homomorphisms  $f : {}_{S}M \to {}_{S}Q$  and  $g : {}_{S}N \to {}_{S}Q$  of left acts

Typeset by  $\mathcal{A}_{\!\mathcal{M}}\!\mathcal{S}\text{-}T_{\!E}\!X$ 

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 20M30, 20M50.

Key words and phrases. act over monoid, strong flatness.

This research was supported by Estonian Science Foundation grant 2975.

<sup>1</sup> 

#### VALDIS LAAN

is, if it exists, determined up to isomorphism and it is isomorphic to the left S-act

$$_{S}P = \{(m,n) \in _{S}M \times _{S}N \mid f(m) = g(n)\},\$$

where s(m, n) = (sm, sn) for all  $s \in S, m \in {}_{S}M$  and  $n \in {}_{S}N$ , together with  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  – the restrictions of the projections on  ${}_{S}M$  and  ${}_{S}N$ , respectively.

Consider the following, so called *pullback diagram* in S-Act

$$\begin{array}{cccc} {}_{S}P & \stackrel{p_{1}}{\longrightarrow} {}_{S}M \\ {}_{p_{2}} \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ {}_{S}N & \stackrel{q}{\longrightarrow} {}_{S}Q \end{array}$$

where  ${}_{S}P$ ,  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are as above. Let us denote such a diagram by  $P({}_{S}M, {}_{S}N, f, g, {}_{S}Q)$ . If  $A_S$  is a right S-act then for every homomorphism  $k : {}_{S}B \to {}_{S}C$  of left S-acts let  $\mathrm{id}_A \otimes k : A_S \otimes {}_{S}B \to A_S \otimes {}_{S}C$  be a mapping defined by  $(\mathrm{id}_A \otimes k)(a \otimes b) = a \otimes k(b), a \in A_S, b \in {}_{S}B$ . Tensoring the pullback diagram  $P({}_{S}M, {}_{S}N, f, g, {}_{S}Q)$  by an act  $A_S$  we get the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccc} A_S \otimes {}_S P & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{id}_A \otimes p_1} & A_S \otimes {}_S M \\ & & & & & & \\ \operatorname{id}_A \otimes p_2 & & & & & & \\ A_S \otimes {}_S N & \xrightarrow{} & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ A_S \otimes {}_S N & \xrightarrow{} & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{id}_A \otimes g} & A_S \otimes {}_S Q \ . \end{array}$$

For the pullback  $(P', (p'_1, p'_2))$  of  $id_A \otimes f$  and  $id_A \otimes g$  we may assume that

$$P' = \{(a \otimes m, a' \otimes n) \in (A_S \otimes {}_SM) \times (A_S \otimes {}_SN) \mid a \otimes f(m) = a' \otimes g(n)\}$$

and  $p_1'$  and  $p_2'$  are the restrictions of the projections.

Now it follows from the definition of pullbacks that there exists a unique mapping  $\varphi : A_S \otimes_S P \longrightarrow P'$  such that  $p'_1 \varphi = \operatorname{id}_A \otimes p_1$  and  $p'_2 \varphi = \operatorname{id}_A \otimes p_2$ . It was stated in [2] that  $\varphi$  is given by

$$\varphi(a \otimes (m, n)) = (a \otimes m, a \otimes n)$$

for any  $a \in A_S$  and  $(m, n) \in {}_SP$ . In the sequel this mapping  $\varphi$  is called the mapping *corresponding* to the pullback diagram  $P({}_SM, {}_SN, f, g, {}_SQ)$ .

In [7] Stenström called a right S-act  $A_S$  strongly flat, if the functor  $A_S \otimes$ from the category of left S-acts to the category of sets preserves pullbacks and equalizers. He proved that  $A_S$  is strongly flat if and only if it satisfies the following conditions (P) and (E):

(P) 
$$(\forall a, a' \in A_S)(\forall s, s' \in S)(as = a's' \Rightarrow (\exists a'' \in A_S)(\exists u, v \in S)(a = a''u \land a' = a''v \land us = vs')),$$

 $\Rightarrow$ 

(E) 
$$(\forall a \in A_S)(\forall s, s' \in S)(as = as' \Rightarrow (\exists a' \in A_S)(\exists u \in S)(a = a'u \land us = us')).$$

Normak [6] was the first to consider condition (P) on its own. He showed that this condition does not imply preservation of pullbacks, although the reverse implication is true. In [2] Bulman-Fleming showed that in fact  $A_S$  is strongly flat if and only if  $A_S \otimes -$  preserves pullbacks, that is, the corresponding  $\varphi$  is surjective and injective for every pullback diagram  $P(_{S}M, _{S}N, f, g, _{S}Q)$ . He also proved that  $A_S$  satisfies condition (P) if and only if the corresponding  $\varphi$  is surjective for every pullback diagram  $P(_{S}M, _{S}N, f, g, _{S}Q)$ . Implicitly it was even shown that condition (P) is equivalent to the surjectivity of  $\varphi$  for all pullback diagrams P(S, S, S, f, q, S). This motivates the question, what happens if we require surjectivity and injectivity of  $\varphi$  not for all pullback diagrams  $P({}_{S}M, {}_{S}N, f, g, {}_{S}Q)$  but only for some "simpler" kind of them.

# Condition (O)

**Definition 2.1.** Let us say that a right S-act  $A_S$  satisfies condition (O), if the corresponding  $\varphi$  is surjective and injective for every pullback diagram  $P(_{S}S, _{S}S, f, g, _{S}S).$ 

It is immediate from the definition and the preceding section, that if  $A_S$ is strongly flat then it satisfies condition (O) and if it satisfies condition (O)then it satisfies condition (P).

Our first aim is to give a characterization of condition (O) which does not involve pullback diagrams but refers to  $A_S$  itself instead. For this we introduce a generalization of condition (E):

(E') 
$$(\forall a \in A_S)(\forall s, s', z \in S)(as = as' \land sz = s'z \Rightarrow (\exists a' \in A_S)(\exists u \in S)(a = a'u \land us = us')).$$

We also need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.2.** [4] Let S be a monoid. Then  $a \otimes s = a' \otimes t$  in  $A_S \otimes {}_SS$  if and only if as = a't in  $A_S$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** [2] If an act  $A_S$  satisfies condition (P) and  $a \otimes m = a' \otimes m'$ in  $A_S \otimes {}_SM$  for  $a, a' \in A_S, m, m' \in {}_SM$  then there exist  $a'' \in A_S$  and  $u, v \in S$  such that a = a''u, a' = a''v, um = vm'.

**Proposition 2.4.** Let  $A_S$  be a right S-act. The following assertions are equivalent.

- (1)  $A_S$  satisfies condition (O).
- (2)  $A_S$  satisfies the following condition
- $(\forall a, a' \in A_S)(\forall s, s', t, t', z, w \in S)$ [sz = tw \land s'z = t'w \land as = a's' \land at = a't' \Rightarrow (\exists a'' \in A\_S)(\exists u, v \in S)(a = a''u \land a' = a''v \land us = vs' \land ut = vt')].
- (3)  $A_S$  satisfies conditions (P) and (E').

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Let  $A_S$  satisfy Condition (O). Since  $\varphi$  is surjective for every pullback diagram  $P({}_SS, {}_SS, f, g, {}_SS)$ ,  $A_S$  satisfies condition (P). Let  $sz = tw, s'z = t'w, as = a's', at = a't', a, a' \in A_S, s, s', t, t', z, w \in S$ . Let  $f, g: {}_SS \to {}_SS$  be the homomorphisms of left S-acts, defined by f(u) = uzand  $g(u) = uw, u \in S$ . Then f(s) = g(t), f(s') = g(t') and by Lemma 2.2.  $a \otimes s = a' \otimes s'$  and  $a \otimes t = a' \otimes t'$  in  $A_S \otimes {}_SS$ . This means that  $\varphi(a \otimes (s, t)) =$  $\varphi(a' \otimes (s', t'))$  for  $\varphi$  corresponding to the diagram  $P({}_SS, {}_SS, f, g, {}_SS)$ . Using injectivity of  $\varphi$  we get the equality  $a \otimes (s, t) = a' \otimes (s', t')$  in  $A_S \otimes {}_SP$ . Since  $A_S$  satisfies conditon (P), by Lemma 2.3 there exist  $a'' \in A_S, u, v \in S$ such that a = a''u, a' = a''v and u(s,t) = v(s',t'). But then us = vs' and ut = vt'.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ . Condition (P) follows by taking t = s, t' = s' and z = w = 1 in the condition which we assume to be true. Let us show that condition (E') holds. Suppose that as = as', sz = s'z,  $a \in A_S$ ,  $s, s', z \in S$ . The equalities as = as', a1 = a1, sz = 1sz, s'z = 1sz imply the existence of  $a'' \in A_S$  and  $u, v \in S$  such that us = vs', u1 = v1 and a = a''u.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ . As mentioned before, if  $A_S$  satisfies condition (P) then the corresponding  $\varphi$  is surjective for every pullback diagram  $P({}_SS, {}_SS, f, g, {}_SS)$ . Let us show that  $\varphi$  is also injective for every pullback diagram  $P({}_SS, {}_SS, f, g, {}_SS)$ . Let f(1) = z and g(1) = w. Suppose that  $\varphi(a \otimes (s, t)) = \varphi(a' \otimes (s', t'))$ ,  $a, a' \in A_S$ ,  $(s, t), (s', t') \in {}_SP$ . By the definition of  ${}_SP$  we have f(s) = g(t) and f(s') = g(t'), or sz = tw and s'z = t'w. Definition of  $\varphi$  implies that  $(a \otimes s, a \otimes t) = (a' \otimes s', a' \otimes t')$ , or  $a \otimes s = a' \otimes s'$  and  $a \otimes t = a' \otimes t'$ . By Lemma 2.2. this means that as = a's' and at = a't'. Using condition (P), from the equality as = a's' we get  $b \in A_S, u_1, v_1 \in S$  such that  $a = bu_1, a' = bv_1$  and  $u_1s = v_1s'$ . We now have

$$b(u_1t) = (bu_1)t = at = a't' = (bv_1)t' = b(v_1t')$$

and

$$(u_1t)w = u_1(tw) = u_1sz = v_1s'z = v_1(t'w) = (v_1t')w.$$

Applying condition (E') we get  $a'' \in A_S$ ,  $r \in S$  such that b = a''r and  $ru_1t = rv_1t'$ . Denoting  $u = ru_1$  and  $v = rv_1$  we have us = vs', ut = vt',  $a = bu_1 = a''ru_1 = a''u$  and, analogously, a' = a''v. Now

$$a \otimes (s,t) = a'' u \otimes (s,t) = a'' \otimes u(s,t) = a'' \otimes (us,ut) = a'' \otimes (vs',vt')$$
$$= a'' \otimes v(s',t') = a'' v \otimes (s',t') = a' \otimes (s',t')$$

in  $A_S \otimes {}_S P$ . Thus  $\varphi$  is injective.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let S be a group. Then every right S-act  $A_S$  satisfies condition (O).

*Proof.* Since S is a group, by the dual of [6, Proposition 3.10]  $A_S$  satisfies condition (P). Hence it is sufficient to show that  $A_S$  satisfies condition (E'). Suppose that as = as' and sz = s'z for some  $a \in A_S$ ,  $s, s', z \in S$ . Multiplying the equality sz = s'z by  $z^{-1}$  from the right, we get s = s'. Thus we can take u = 1 and a' = a.

This lemma implies that strong flatness and condition (O) are different notions. Indeed, if S is a nontrivial group then the one-element right Sact  $\Theta_S$  satisfies condition (O), but it cannot be strongly flat, since it does not satisfy condition (E). Moreover, this means that condition (E') does not imply (E), because otherwise condition (O) would imply strong flatness.

A monoid S is called *right collapsible* if for every  $s, s' \in S$  there exists  $z \in S$  such that sz = s'z. This is the dual of the definition of left collapsible monoid, which was given in [5].

**Lemma 2.6.** Let S be a right collapsible monoid. Then every right S-act  $A_S$  satisfying condition (O) is strongly flat.

Proof. Let  $A_S$  satisfy condition (O). It is sufficient to show that  $A_S$  satisfies condition (E). Suppose that as = as',  $a, a' \in A_S$ ,  $s, s' \in S$ . By the right collapsibility of S there exist  $z \in S$  such that sz = s'z Since  $A_S$  satisfies condition (E') by Proposition 2.4., there exist  $a' \in A_S$ ,  $u \in S$  such that a = a'u, and us = us'. But this means that  $A_S$  satisfies condition (E) and hence it is strongly flat.

Now we are ready to show that condition (P) does not imply condition (O).

**Example 2.7.** Let  $T = x^*$  be a monogenic free monoid generated by an element x and let S be a monoid obtained from T by external adjoining of zero 0, i.e.  $S = T \cup \{0\}$  and s0 = 0s = 00 = 0 for every element  $s \in S$ . Since S is right collapsible, a right S-act satisfies Condition (O) if and only if it is strongly flat. Let us consider the monocyclic right S-act  $S/\rho(1, x)$ , where

### VALDIS LAAN

 $\rho(1, x)$  is the smallest right congruence identifying the elements 1 and x. By [1, Proposition 2.10.] this act satisfies condition (P) and it is strongly flat if and only if x is an aperiodic element. Since x is not an aperiodic element,  $S/\rho(1, x)$  cannot be strongly flat and hence it cannot satisfy condition (O) either.

### References

- S. Bulman-Fleming, Flat and strongly flat S-systems, Comm. Algebra 20(9) (1992), 2553–2567.
- S. Bulman-Fleming, Pullback flat acts are stongly flat, Canad. Math. Bull. 34(4) (1991), 456–461.
- J. M. Howie, Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory, Oxford Science Publications, Oxford, 1995.
- 4. M. Kilp, On flat polygons, Tartu Riikl. Ül. Toimetised, no. 253 (1970), 66-72.
- M. Kilp, On monoids over which all strongly flat cyclic right acts are projective, Semigroup Forum 52 (1996), 241–245.
- P. Normak, On equalizer flat acts and pullback flat acts, Semigroup Forum 36 (1987), 293–313.
- B. Stenström, Flatness and localization over monoids, Math. Nachr. 48 (1971), 315–334.

Received October 10, 1998

Institute of Pure Mathematics University of Tartu 50900 Tartu, Estonia